

# Around Segal conjecture in $p$ -adic geometry

BY ZHOUEHANG MAO

December 22, 2025

## Abstract

This article records multiple results coming from interplay between de-completed topological periodic cyclic homology, Segal conjecture, and  $F$ -smoothness.

We establish completeness of motivic filtration on de-completed topological periodic cyclic homology of commutative rings with weakly finitely generated absolute cotangent complex. When the ring in question is in addition  $F$ -smooth, we show that Segal conjecture holds for its topological Hochschild homology. We also identify our de-completed topological periodic cyclic homology with Manam's Frobenius untwisted topological periodic cyclic homology for quasiregular semiperfectoid rings.

We find a crystalline degeneration of Segal conjecture which corresponds to such a statement for  $F$ -smoothness. On the other hand, inspired by constructions for topological Hochschild homology, the theory of cyclotomic synthetic spectra allows us to produce a relative conjugate filtration on Hodge–Tate cohomology and its variants, and in the same time, a relative conjugate filtration on topological Hochschild homology and its variants. As a consequence, we deduce transitivity of weak and strong  $F$ -smoothness.

## 1 Introduction

This article stems from studies of de-completed topological periodic cyclic homology in [Mao24], which turns out to be closely related to Segal conjecture. The interplay between de-completed topological periodic cyclic homology, Segal conjecture, and  $F$ -smoothness leads us to multiple results on both the commutative and noncommutative world, which is the main theme of this article.

Roughly speaking, in [BMS18], the authors produce a motivic filtration on topological periodic cyclic homology, whose associated graded pieces are given by Nygaard-completed absolute prismatic cohomology. In this sense, we say that topological periodic cyclic homology *corresponds to* Nygaard-completed absolute prismatic cohomology. Our work gave a construction of a localizing invariant corresponding to non-Hodge-completed de Rham cohomology. Via the same techniques, we extended Devalapurkar–Hahn–Raksit–Yuan's de-completed topological Hochschild homology to a localizing invariant as well. In particular, it gives rise to de-completed topological periodic cyclic homology  $\mathrm{TP}_{/\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathrm{poly}}$  (in this article, we take tacit  $(p, v_1)$ -completion for this de-completion). There are two natural questions out of it:

1. When is the motivic filtration on de-completed topological periodic cyclic homology complete?
2. When is de-completed topological periodic cyclic homology already “Nygaard-complete”?

It turns out that, the “Nygaard-completeness” of de-completed topological periodic cyclic homology is closely related to Segal conjecture relative to  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{Z})$  (Remark 2.15). Our first result addresses the first question, which says that the motivic filtration on de-completed topological periodic cyclic homology is complete if the ring in question satisfies a very weak finite generation condition:

**Theorem 1.1. (Lemma 3.16 and Theorem 3.19)** *Let  $R$  be an (animated) commutative ring such that the cotangent complex  $L_{R/\mathbb{Z}}$  is, as an  $R$ -module spectrum,  $p$ -completely (weakly) finitely generated. Then the motivic filtration on  $\mathrm{TP}_{/\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathrm{poly}}(R)$  is complete.*

This includes all (animated) commutative rings  $R$  whose derived modulo  $p$  is (weakly)  $F$ -finite (Example 3.24). The concept of  $F$ -smoothness was inspired by Segal conjecture. We prove a sufficient condition for Segal conjecture for  $\mathrm{THH}$  of an  $F$ -smooth ring:

**Theorem 1.2. (Lemma 3.16 and Theorem 3.20)** *Let  $R$  be an  $F$ -smooth  $p$ -quasisyntomic ring such that the cotangent complex  $L_{R/\mathbb{Z}}$  is, as an  $R$ -module spectrum,  $p$ -completely (weakly) finitely generated. Then the cyclotomic spectrum  $\mathrm{THH}(R)$  satisfies  $p$ -complete Segal conjecture.*

This incorporates qualitative versions of known results such as smooth algebras over a perfectoid ring, or regular rings whose reduction mod  $p$  is  $F$ -finite (Example 3.25). This also includes a DVR which is not  $F$ -finite (Example 3.27).

On the other hand, in [BM23], it is established that, a  $p$ -torsion-free  $p$ -quasisyntomic ring  $R$  is  $F$ -smooth if its reduction  $R/p$  is  $F$ -smooth. We show that, the argument in [HW22] implies an analogue for Segal conjecture.

**Theorem 1.3. (Proposition 4.2)** *Let  $M$  be a right  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle)$ -module in cyclotomic spectra such that  $M/(p, v_1, \dots, v_n)$  is bounded below. Suppose that the cyclotomic spectrum*

$$M \otimes_{\mathrm{THH}(\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle)}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{F}_p)$$

*satisfies Segal conjecture, then the cyclotomic spectrum  $M/(p, v_1, \dots, v_n)$  satisfies Segal conjecture.*

Actually, in this case, its further reduction modulo  $v_{n+1}$  satisfies Lichtenbaum–Quillen conjecture as well. For a cyclotomic spectrum, Lichtenbaum–Quillen conjecture implies Segal conjecture, and the reverse implication requires (weak) canonical vanishing in general. However, if the cyclotomic spectrum in question admits a ring structure and a Bökstedt element, in which case we call it a *Bökstedt ring*, it was shown in [BHLS23] that canonical vanishing is automatic. An observation is that, their argument also adapts to modules over a Bökstedt ring (Lemma 2.17). In particular, the cyclotomic rings  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{F}_p)$  and  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{Z})$  are Bökstedt rings after reduction modulo  $(p, v_1)$ , and thus for a DG-category  $\mathcal{C}$ , we may deduce boundedness of  $\mathrm{TR}(\mathcal{C})/(p, v_1)$  when  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathcal{C})$  satisfies Segal conjecture (Corollary 2.18).

In addition to automatic canonical vanishing of (Segal-conjecture-satisfying) modules over a Bökstedt ring, their de-completed  $(-)^{t\mathbb{T}}$  has a simple formula out of  $(-)^{h\mathbb{T}}$  (Proposition 2.21). In the special case  $\mathrm{TP}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathrm{poly}}$ , we have

**Theorem 1.4. (Corollaries 2.22, 2.23, and 2.24)** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear DG-category. Then we have*

$$\mathrm{TP}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathrm{poly}}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq (\mathrm{TC}^-(\mathcal{C}) \otimes_{\mathrm{TC}^-(\mathbb{Z}), \varphi}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathrm{TP}(\mathbb{Z}))_{(p, v_1)}^{\wedge},$$

*and the same if we replace  $\mathbb{Z}$  by a perfectoid ring, and in this case, we may also replace  $v_1$  by  $\mathrm{Ker}(\theta)$  of Fontaine’s map on the perfectoid ring in question. Moreover, when  $R$  is a quasiregular semiperfectoid ring, we can identify  $\mathrm{TP}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathrm{poly}}(R)$  with  $\mathrm{TP}^{(-1)}(R)$  in [Man24] as expected.*

Moreover, combining with ideas in [AKN23] on continuity of syntomic cohomology, we give a conceptual explanation of continuity of TC for modules over a Bökstedt ring (Proposition 2.26 and Corollary 2.27).

Finally, the theory of cyclotomic syntomic spectra in [AR24] allows us to systematically consider variants of prismatic cohomology and topological Hochschild homology on the same foot. In particular, we produce relative conjugate filtration on both Hodge–Tate cohomology and  $\mathrm{THH}_{\Delta}^{\Phi_{C_p}}$  (a de-completion of  $\mathrm{THH}^{tC_p}$ ): the former is a mixed characteristic analogue of the one in [Bha12], while the later is a mixed characteristic and relative generalization of Kaledin’s conjugate filtration.

**Theorem 1.5. (Examples 5.4 and 5.5 and Proposition 5.8)** *Let  $k$  be an (animated) commutative ring.*

1. *Let  $R$  be an (animated) commutative  $k$ -algebra. Then there exists a complete exhaustive filtration on  $\overline{\Delta}_R\{i\}$  with the  $j$ -th associated graded piece given by*

$$(\bigwedge_R^{i-j} L_{R/k}) \otimes_k^{\mathbb{L}} \overline{\Delta}_k\{j\}[j-i].$$

2. *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a dualizable presentable stable  $k$ -linear  $\infty$ -category. Then there exists an exhaustive filtration on  $\mathrm{THH}_{\Delta}(\mathcal{C})^{\Phi_{C_p}}$  with the  $j$ -th associated graded piece given by*

$$\mathrm{HH}(\mathcal{C}/k) \otimes_k^{\mathbb{L}} \overline{\Delta}_k\{j\}[2j].$$

*Moreover, if the  $k$ -module spectrum  $L_{k/\mathbb{Z}}$  is weakly finitely generated, then this filtration is complete.*

A similar construction leads to a relative filtration on  $\mathrm{gr}_N^* \Delta$  and  $\mathrm{THH}$ :

**Theorem 1.6.** (Examples 6.3 and 6.2 and Proposition 6.4) *Let  $k$  be an (animated) commutative ring.*

1. *Let  $R$  be an (animated) commutative  $k$ -algebra. Then there exists a complete exhaustive filtration on  $\bar{\Delta}_R\{i\}$  with the  $j$ -th associated graded piece given by*

$$(\bigwedge_R^{i-j} L_{R/k}) \otimes_k^{\mathbb{L}} \mathrm{gr}_N^j \Delta_k\{j\}[j-i].$$

2. *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a dualizable presentable stable  $k$ -linear  $\infty$ -category. Then there exists an exhaustive filtration on  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathcal{C})$  with the  $j$ -th associated graded piece given by*

$$\mathrm{HH}(\mathcal{C}/k) \otimes_k^{\mathbb{L}} \mathrm{gr}_N^j \Delta_k\{j\}[2j].$$

*Moreover, if  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathcal{C})$  is  $t$ -bounded below, then this filtration is complete.*

As a consequence, we get transitivity of weak  $F$ -smoothness and  $F$ -smoothness, which was our original motivation to produce relative conjugate filtrations, inspired by Tess BOUIS's proof of transitivity of  $p$ -Cartier smoothness in [Bou23, Lem 2.10].

**Theorem 1.7.** (Theorem 6.7) *Let  $k \rightarrow R$  be a map of (animated) rings. Suppose that*

- *the (animated) ring  $k$  is weakly  $F$ -smooth; and that*
- *the map  $k \rightarrow R$  is  $p$ -quasismooth.*

*Then the (animated) ring  $R$  is weakly  $F$ -smooth as well.*

Inspired by relative Segal conjecture, we introduce relative Nygaard completeness (Definition 6.8), and prove that it is implied by  $p$ -Cartier smoothness with weak  $F$ -smoothness of the base:

**Theorem 1.8.** (Theorem 6.10 and Corollary 6.11) *Let  $k$  be a commutative ring, and  $R$  an animated  $k$ -algebra of bounded  $p$ -complete Tor-amplitude. Suppose that*

- *the commutative ring  $k$  is weakly  $F$ -smooth; and that*
- *the  $R$ -module spectrum  $L_{R/k}$  is  $p$ -completely flat.*

*If the  $p$ -completed derived de Rham cohomology  $\mathrm{dR}_{R/k}$  is  $p$ -completely Hodge-complete, then the absolute prismatic cohomology  $\Delta_R\{*\}$  is Nygaard complete relative to  $\Delta_k\{*\}$ . In particular, if  $k$  is in addition  $F$ -smooth, then so is  $R$ .*

**Acknowledgments.** We thank Benjamin ANTIEAU, Tess BOUIS, Daniel FINK, Rok GREGORIC, Hyungseop KIM and Georg TAMME for discussions.

## 2 De-completed TP revisited

Devalapurkar–Hahn–Raksit–Yuan defines de-completed topological periodic cyclic homology of animated rings in terms of genuine equivariant homotopy theory, and [Mao24, §5] extended it to DG-categories. In this section, we first reformulate it via an equivalent description (Definition 2.1) without genuine equivariant homotopy theory, explaining its relation to Segal conjecture (Remark 2.15 and Proposition 2.16). Then in Corollary 2.24, we identify it with  $\mathrm{TP}^{(-1)}$  introduced in [Man24] (of which [Gre25, §1] gives an excellent exposition) in the general context of modules over a Bökstedt ring, a concept introduced in [BHLS23]. Finally, we give a conceptual explanation (Corollary 2.27) of continuity of TC, which applies to filtered systems which are not uniformly  $t$ -bounded below.

**Definition 2.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a dualizable presentable stable  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear  $\infty$ -category. Then its  $((p, v_1)$ -completed) topological polynomial periodic cyclic homology  $\mathrm{TP}_{/\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathrm{poly}}(\mathcal{C})$  is defined to be the  $(p, v_1)$ -completion of the spectrum*

$$\mathrm{THH}_{\Delta}(\mathcal{C})^{h\mathbb{T}} := (\mathrm{THH}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes_{\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{Z}), \varphi_p}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{Z})^{tC_p})^{h\mathbb{T}},$$

where  $\mathrm{THH}_\Delta$  is de-completed  $\mathrm{THH}$  à la Devalapurkar–Hahn–Raksit–Yuan, extended to  $DG$ -categories in [Mao24, §5]. In this paper, we only use

$$\mathrm{THH}_\Delta(\mathcal{C})^{\Phi_{C_p}} = \mathrm{THH}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes_{\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{Z})}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{Z})^{tC_p}$$

to simplify the cumbersome expression on the right hand side.

**Remark 2.2.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a dualizable presentable stable  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear  $\infty$ -category. Then the *assembly map* out of  $\mathrm{TP}_{/\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathrm{poly}}(\mathcal{C})$  is given by the  $(p, v_1)$ -completion of the map

$$(\mathrm{THH}_\Delta(\mathcal{C})^{\Phi_{C_p}})^{h\mathbb{T}} \longrightarrow (\mathrm{THH}(\mathcal{C})^{tC_p})^{h\mathbb{T}}$$

induced by the cyclotomic Frobenius map  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}(\mathcal{C})^{tC_p}$ , where the target can be  $(p, v_1)$ -completely identified with  $\mathrm{TP}(\mathcal{C})$  by the Tate orbit lemma<sup>2.1</sup>.

**Remark 2.3.** In Definition 2.1 and Remark 2.2, we may replace the base  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{Z})$  by  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle)$ , and replace  $(p, v_1)$ -completion by  $(p, v_1, \dots, v_{n+1})$ -completion.

**Construction 2.4.** Let  $R$  be an animated ring. Then by [AR24] (on polynomial rings, from which one can left Kan extend to general animated rings), the filtered cyclotomic spectrum  $\mathrm{Fil}_M \mathrm{THH}(R)$  acquires an  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -cyclotomic synthetic spectrum structure. This gives rise to a synthetic spectrum structure on

$$\mathrm{Fil}_M \mathrm{THH}_\Delta(R)^{\Phi_{C_p}} := \mathrm{Fil}_M \mathrm{THH}(R) \otimes_{\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{ev}} \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{Z}), \varphi_p}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{ev}} \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{Z})^{tC_p}$$

with a  $\mathbb{T}_{\mathrm{ev}}$ -action, where  $\mathrm{Fil}_M \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{Z})^{tC_p} \simeq (\mathrm{Fil}_M \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{Z}))^{tC_p, \mathrm{ev}}$ . In particular, it gives rise to filtrations on  $\mathrm{THH}_\Delta(R)^{\Phi_{C_p}}$  and on  $\mathrm{TP}_{/\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathrm{poly}}(R)$  as well, called *motivic filtrations*. By construction, the first filtration is exhaustive. To see that the second filtration is also exhaustive, we need some preparations for  $\mathrm{Fil}_M \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{Z})$ .

**Remark 2.5.** Let  $R$  be an animated ring. Recall that

$$\pi_*(\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{F}_p) = \mathbb{F}_p[\mu_1] \otimes \Lambda(\lambda_1)$$

with  $\deg \mu_1 = 2p$  and  $\deg \lambda_1 = 2p - 1$ , and the Frobenius map

$$\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{F}_p \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{Z})^{tC_p} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{F}_p$$

is inverting the class  $\mu_1$ . As in [HRW22, §6], these classes lift to synthetic classes (non-equivariantly), still denoted by  $\lambda_1$  and  $\mu_1$  respectively, and the Frobenius map is inverting  $\mu_1$  as well. This realizes  $\mathrm{Fil}_M(\mathrm{THH}_\Delta(R)^{\Phi_{C_p}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{F}_p)$  as inverting the class  $\mu_1$  in  $\mathrm{Fil}_M(\mathrm{THH}(R) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{F}_p)$ , and in particular, realizes  $\mathrm{THH}_\Delta(R)^{\Phi_{C_p}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{F}_p$  as inverting the class  $\mu_1$  in  $\mathrm{THH}(R) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{F}_p$ .

**Lemma 2.6.** Let  $M$  be a  $\mathrm{Fil}_M \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{Z})$ -module in  $\mathrm{SynSp}_{\mathbb{T}_{\mathrm{ev}}}$ , and

$$N := M \otimes_{\mathrm{Fil}_M \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{Z})}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathrm{Fil}_M \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{Z})^{tC_p}.$$

Then the norm map

$$\Sigma \mathbb{S}_{\mathrm{ev}}(1) \otimes_{\mathbb{S}_{\mathrm{ev}}} N_{h\mathbb{T}_{\mathrm{ev}}} \longrightarrow N^{h\mathbb{T}_{\mathrm{ev}}}$$

is an equivalence of synthetic spectra after  $(v_0, \tilde{v}_1)$ -completion. Consequently, the functor

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{Fil}_M \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{Z})}(\mathrm{SynSp}_{\mathbb{T}_{\mathrm{ev}}}) &\longrightarrow \mathrm{SynSp}_{(v_0, \tilde{v}_1)}^\wedge \\ M &\longmapsto (N^{h\mathbb{T}_{\mathrm{ev}}})_{(v_0, \tilde{v}_1)}^\wedge \end{aligned}$$

preserves small colimits, where  $v_0$  and  $\tilde{v}_1$  are the synthetic analogues of the homotopy classes  $p$  and  $v_1$  in  $\mathbb{S}$  and  $\mathbb{S}/p$ .

**Proof.** By [AKN24, Cons 2.58], we have a fiber sequence

$$\Sigma \mathbb{S}_{\mathrm{ev}}(1) \otimes_{\mathbb{S}_{\mathrm{ev}}} N_{h\mathbb{T}_{\mathrm{ev}}} \longrightarrow N^{h\mathbb{T}_{\mathrm{ev}}} \longrightarrow N^{t\mathbb{T}_{\mathrm{ev}}}.$$

<sup>2.1</sup> In full generality, we need the  $t$ -boundedness of  $\mathrm{TR}(\mathbb{Z})/(p, v_1)$ , a special case of Lichtenbaum–Quillen for  $\mathrm{TR}(\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle)/(p, v_1, \dots, v_{n+1})$  established in [HW22].

The result follows, as the synthetic spectrum  $N^{t\mathbb{T}_{\text{ev}}}$  carries a  $(\text{Fil}_M \text{THH}(\mathbb{Z})^{tC_p})^{t\mathbb{T}_{\text{ev}}}$ -module structure, and the  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -algebra  $((\text{Fil}_M \text{THH}(\mathbb{Z})^{tC_p})^{t\mathbb{T}_{\text{ev}}})_{(v_0, \tilde{v}_1)}^\wedge$  in synthetic spectra is zero.

A way to see the later: the  $\mathbb{T}_{\text{ev}}$ -equivariant lift  $\tilde{\mu}_1$  of the class  $\mu_1$  in  $\text{Fil}_M \text{THH}(\mathbb{Z})/(v_0, \tilde{v}_1)$  is sent to an invertible  $\mathbb{T}_{\text{ev}}$ -equivariant class in  $\text{Fil}_M \text{THH}(\mathbb{Z})^{tC_p}/(v_0, \tilde{v}_1)$ , thus to an invertible class in

$$(\text{Fil}_M \text{THH}(\mathbb{Z})^{tC_p})^{t\mathbb{T}_{\text{ev}}}/(v_0, \tilde{v}_1).$$

On the other hand, it becomes a zero class in  $(\text{Fil}_M \text{THH}(\mathbb{Z}))^{t\mathbb{T}_{\text{ev}}}$ , which sends to the zero class along the map

$$(\text{Fil}_M \text{THH}(\mathbb{Z}))^{t\mathbb{T}_{\text{ev}}}/(v_0, \tilde{v}_1) \rightarrow (\text{Fil}_M \text{THH}(\mathbb{Z})^{tC_p})^{t\mathbb{T}_{\text{ev}}}/(v_0, \tilde{v}_1). \quad \square$$

**Corollary 2.7.** *Let  $R$  be an animated ring. Then the motivic filtration on  $\text{TP}_{/\mathbb{Z}}^{\text{poly}}(R)$  is exhaustive.*

**Remark 2.8.** Let  $R$  be a polynomial ring. Then the map

$$\text{THH}_\Delta(R)^{\Phi_{C_p}} \longrightarrow \text{THH}(R)^{tC_p}$$

induced by the cyclotomic Frobenius  $\text{THH}(R) \rightarrow \text{THH}(R)^{tC_p}$  is an equivalence after  $(p, v_1)$ -completion by results in [Mao24], and passing to associated graded pieces of motivic filtrations, it is an equivalence as well by, say, [BM23, Prop 2.6] and  $F$ -smoothness of  $R$ . It follows that the motivic filtrations considered in Construction 2.4 coincides with the one considered in [Mao24].

We may replace the  $(p, v_1)$ -completed base  $\text{THH}(\mathbb{Z})$  (or more precisely, its reduction modulo  $(p, v_1)$ ) by a Bökstedt ring, a notion that appears in [BHLS23].

**Definition 2.9.** A Bökstedt ring of (height  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) is given by the datum  $(R, \mu)$  where

1.  $R$  is a  $p$ -nilpotent  $t$ -bounded-below  $h\mathbb{A}_2$ -ring in cyclotomic spectra, which satisfies Segal conjecture;
2.  $\mu$  is an element of  $\pi_{2p^n}(R)$  which is a Bökstedt class [BHLS23, Def 2.31].

**Remark 2.10.** In practice, Bökstedt rings come from moding out a sequence of homotopy classes from an  $\mathbb{E}_2$ -algebra in cyclotomic spectra, such as  $\text{THH}(\text{BP}\langle n \rangle)/(p, v_1, \dots, v_{n+1})$ . Thanks to [Bur22], we may raise powers of homotopy classes to improve associativity and commutativity. In particular, one might freely replace  $h\mathbb{A}_2$  by  $\mathbb{E}_1$  or even  $\mathbb{E}_2$  in Definition 2.9.

Recall that every Bökstedt ring  $(R, \mu)$  has the following properties [BHLS23, Lem 2.33 & 2.36 & Prop 2.30]:

- The spectrum  $R/\mu$  is  $t$ -bounded.
- The map  $R[\mu^{-1}] \rightarrow R^{tC_p}$  induced by the cyclotomic Frobenius  $\varphi_p: R \rightarrow R^{tC_p}$  is an equivalence.
- The cyclotomic spectrum  $R$  is cyclotomically  $t$ -bounded, i.e. the spectrum  $\text{TR}(R)$  is  $t$ -bounded.

And conversely, every  $p$ -nilpotent  $h\mathbb{A}_2$ -ring in cyclotomic spectra, which is cyclotomically  $t$ -bounded, admits a Bökstedt ring structure.

**Example 2.11.**  $(\text{THH}(\mathbb{F}_p)/p, (\mu_0 \in \text{THH}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)))$  is a Bökstedt ring.

**Example 2.12.**  $(\text{THH}(\mathbb{Z})/(p, v_1), (\mu_1 \in \text{THH}_{2p}(\mathbb{Z}; \mathbb{F}_p)))$  is a Bökstedt ring, or more generally,  $(\text{THH}(\text{BP}\langle n \rangle)/(p, v_1, \dots, v_{n+1}), (\mu_{n+1} \in \text{THH}_{2p^{n+1}}(\text{BP}\langle n \rangle; \mathbb{F}_p)))$ . This is a consequence of [HW22, Thm G].

**Example 2.13.** ([Mao24, §5]) Let  $S$  be a perfectoid ring. Then  $(\text{THH}(S)/(p, v_1), (\mu_0 \in \pi_2(\text{THH}(S)_p^\wedge)))$  is a Bökstedt ring, and the map  $\text{THH}(\mathbb{Z})/p \rightarrow \text{THH}(S)/p$  carries the class  $\mu_1$  to  $\mu_0^p$  up to a unit.

The “Nygard completeness” of  $\mathrm{TP}^{\mathrm{poly}}$  is closely related to the following relative version of Segal conjecture (Remark 2.15).

**Definition 2.14.** *Let  $(R, \mu)$  be a Bökstedt ring. We say that a right  $R$ -module  $M$  in cyclotomic spectra satisfies Segal conjecture relative to  $R$  if the map*

$$M[\mu^{-1}] = M \otimes_R R^{tC_p} \longrightarrow M^{tC_p}$$

*induced by the cyclotomic Frobenius  $\varphi_p: M \rightarrow M^{tC_p}$  is an equivalence. Equivalently, it is saying that  $\mathrm{fib}(\varphi_p)[\mu^{-1}] = 0$ .*

**Remark 2.15.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a dualizable presentable stable  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear  $\infty$ -category. Then by definition, the assembly map  $\mathrm{TP}^{\mathrm{poly}}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{TP}(\mathcal{C})_{(p, v_1)}^\wedge$  is an equivalence if the cyclotomic spectrum  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathcal{C})/(p, v_1)$  satisfies Segal conjecture relative to  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{Z})/(p, v_1)$ .

The following statement compares absolute and relative Segal conjecture, which follows from adapting the proof of [BHLS23, Lem 2.33].

**Proposition 2.16.** *Let  $(R, \mu)$  be a Bökstedt ring. Then a right  $R$ -module  $M$  in cyclotomic spectra satisfies Segal conjecture if and only if the following two conditions hold:*

1. *The spectrum  $M/\mu$  is  $t$ -bounded above.*
2. *The  $R$ -module  $M$  in cyclotomic spectrum satisfies Segal conjecture relative to  $R$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $N := \mathrm{fib}(\varphi_p)$ . The canonical map  $N \rightarrow M$  is an equivalence after modulo  $\mu$ , as  $\mu$  acts invertibly on  $M^{tC_p}$ . Suppose that  $M$  satisfies Segal conjecture, then  $N$  is  $t$ -bounded above, and in this case, so is  $N/\mu$ . Thus it suffices to establish the equivalence of Segal conjecture and relative Segal conjecture under the  $t$ -bounded-aboveness of  $N/\mu$ . Indeed, for every  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the sequential tower

$$\pi_m(N) \xrightarrow{\mu} \pi_{m+2p^n}(N) \xrightarrow{\mu} \pi_{m+4p^n}(N) \xrightarrow{\mu} \cdots,$$

which computes  $\pi_m(N[\mu^{-1}])$ , stabilizes (uniformly for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , say). This implies that, the spectrum  $N$  is  $t$ -bounded above (i.e. Segal conjecture) if and only if  $N[\mu^{-1}] = 0$  (i.e. relative Segal conjecture).  $\square$

We note that, for modules over a Bökstedt ring, it satisfies (absolute) Segal conjecture if and only if it satisfies it has bounded TR.

**Lemma 2.17.** ([BHLS23, Lem 2.36]) *Let  $(R, \mu)$  be a Bökstedt ring, and  $M$  a right  $R$ -module in  $\mathrm{Sp}^{B\mathbb{T}}$ . Suppose that the spectrum  $M/\mu$  is  $b$ -truncated. Then  $M$  satisfies strong canonical vanishing with parameter  $b$ .*

**Proof.** The proof of [BHLS23, Lem 2.36] works verbatimly. We briefly summarize as follows. First pick a lift  $\hat{\mu} \in \pi_{2p^n}(\Sigma R_{h\mathbb{T}})$ , and there exists  $d \gg 0$  such that  $a_\lambda^d \hat{\mu} = 0$ . Then the composite  $\mathbb{T}$ -equivariant map

$$\tau_{>b} M \xrightarrow{c} M \xrightarrow{a_\lambda^d} \Sigma^{d\lambda} M \tag{2.1}$$

is null homotopic, as the first map  $c$  factors as

$$\tau_{>b} M \longrightarrow \Sigma^{2p^n} M \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Nm}(\hat{\mu})} M$$

since the composite  $\mathbb{T}$ -equivariant map  $\tau_{>b} M \xrightarrow{c} M \rightarrow M/\mathrm{Nm}(\hat{\mu})$  is null-homotopic, by  $b$ -truncatedness of  $M/\mu$ , where  $\mathrm{Nm}$  is the norm map  $R_{h\mathbb{T}}[1] \rightarrow R^{h\mathbb{T}}$ , and thus  $\mathrm{Nm}(\hat{\mu})$  is an equivariant lift of  $\mu$ . But now, the composite  $\mathbb{T}$ -equivariant map

$$\Sigma^{2p^n} M \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{a_\lambda^d} \Sigma^{d\lambda} R$$

is null-homotopic. This gives rise to a null homotopy of the composite  $\mathbb{T}$ -equivariant map (2.1).  $\square$

**Corollary 2.18.** *Let  $M$  be a right  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle)$ -module in cyclotomic spectra such that  $M/(p, v_1, \dots, v_n)$  satisfies Segal conjecture. Then the spectrum  $\mathrm{TR}(M)/(p, v_1, \dots, v_{n+1})$  is  $t$ -bounded above.*



**Proof.** Apply Proposition 2.16 and Lemma 2.17 to the Bökstedt ring  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle)/(p, v_1, \dots, v_{n+1})$  as in Example 2.12.  $\square$

**Example 2.19.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a bounded smooth DG-category (over  $\mathbb{Z}$ ). Then by Corollary 2.18 and one of main results in [Mao24], the spectrum  $\mathrm{TR}(\mathcal{C})/(p, v_1)$  is  $t$ -bounded.

**Example 2.20.** Let  $S$  be a perfectoid ring, and  $\mathcal{C}$  a bounded smooth  $S$ -linear DG-category. Then by Corollary 2.18 and one of main results in [Mao24], the spectrum  $\mathrm{TR}(\mathcal{C})/(p, v_1)$  is  $t$ -bounded. In particular, when  $S$  is a perfect  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra,  $\mathrm{TR}(\mathcal{C})$  is  $p$ -completely  $t$ -bounded above: since  $\mathrm{TR}(\mathbb{F}_p) = \mathbb{Z}_p$ , we see that  $v_1 = 0$  on  $\mathrm{TR}(\mathcal{C})$ .

For modules over a Bökstedt ring, we can simply define the de-completed  $\mathbb{T}$ -Tate construction by taking homotopy  $\mathbb{T}$ -fixed points. This is justified by the following, an analogue of Lemma 2.6.

**Proposition 2.21.** *Let  $(R, \mu)$  be a Bökstedt ring. Then*

1. *the functor*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{RMod}_R(\mathrm{Sp}^{B\mathbb{T}}) &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Sp} \\ M &\longmapsto (M \otimes_R^{\mathbb{L}} R^{tC_p})^{h\mathbb{T}} \end{aligned}$$

*preserves sifted colimits; and*

2. *letting  $M$  be an  $R$ -module in  $\mathrm{Sp}^{B\mathbb{T}}$ , the assembly map*

$$M^{h\mathbb{T}} \otimes_{R^{h\mathbb{T}}, \varphi := \varphi_p^{h\mathbb{T}}} R^{t\mathbb{T}} \longrightarrow (M \otimes_R^{\mathbb{L}} R^{tC_p})^{h\mathbb{T}}$$

*is an equivalence.*

**Proof.** We prove the both statements in the same time by examining the vertical morphism

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (\Sigma M_{h\mathbb{T}}) \otimes_{R^{h\mathbb{T}}, \varphi}^{\mathbb{L}} R^{t\mathbb{T}} & \longrightarrow & M^{h\mathbb{T}} \otimes_{R^{h\mathbb{T}}, \varphi} R^{t\mathbb{T}} & \longrightarrow & M^{t\mathbb{T}} \otimes_{R^{h\mathbb{T}}, \varphi} R^{t\mathbb{T}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Sigma (M \otimes_R^{\mathbb{L}} R^{tC_p})_{h\mathbb{T}} & \longrightarrow & (M \otimes_R^{\mathbb{L}} R^{tC_p})^{h\mathbb{T}} & \longrightarrow & (M \otimes_R^{\mathbb{L}} R^{tC_p})^{t\mathbb{T}} \end{array}$$

of horizontal fiber sequences, where the right  $R^{h\mathbb{T}}$ -module structure on  $M^{t\mathbb{T}}$  is induced by the canonical map  $\mathrm{can}: R^{h\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow R^{t\mathbb{T}}$ , while the base change on the first row is along the Frobenius map  $\varphi := \varphi_p^{h\mathbb{T}}: R^{h\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow R^{t\mathbb{T}}$ .

Let  $\tilde{\mu} \in \pi_{2p^n}(R^{h\mathbb{T}})$  be an equivariant lift of  $\mu$ , which further admits a lift to  $\pi_{2p^n}(\Sigma R_{h\mathbb{T}})$ . Then by assumption, the map  $\varphi: R^{h\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow R^{t\mathbb{T}}$  is precisely localization at the class  $\tilde{\mu}$ . However, since  $\tilde{\mu}$  lifts along  $\mathrm{Nm}: \Sigma R_{h\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow R^{h\mathbb{T}}$ , we have  $\mathrm{can}(\tilde{\mu}) = 0$ . This implies that

$$M^{t\mathbb{T}} \otimes_{R^{h\mathbb{T}}, \varphi}^{\mathbb{L}} R^{t\mathbb{T}} = 0$$

(compare with Lemma 2.6). Moreover, by Tate orbit and fixed point lemma, we have  $(R^{tC_p})^{t\mathbb{T}} \simeq 0$ , and thus

$$(M \otimes_R^{\mathbb{L}} R^{tC_p})^{t\mathbb{T}} = 0.$$

It follows that the two left horizontal maps are equivalences. The construction  $\Sigma(-)_{h\mathbb{T}}$  preserves sifted colimits, and in particular, inverting  $\tilde{\mu}$ , thus the leftmost vertical map is an equivalence. Thus all arrows on the left square are equivalences, and the result follows.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.22.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a dualizable presentable stable  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear  $\infty$ -category. Then the canonical map*

$$\mathrm{TC}^-(\mathcal{C}) \otimes_{\mathrm{TC}^-(\mathbb{Z}), \varphi}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathrm{TP}(\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{TP}_{/\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathrm{poly}}(\mathcal{C})$$

*is an equivalence after  $(p, v_1)$ -completion<sup>2.2</sup>.*

**Proof.** It follows from applying Proposition 2.21 to the Bökstedt ring  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{Z})/(p, v_1)$  as in Example 2.12.  $\square$

<sup>2.2</sup> We do not complete it with respect to any “secondary filtration” as hinted in [Man24, Rem 1.6].

**Corollary 2.23.** *Let  $S$  be a perfectoid ring, and  $\mathcal{C}$  a dualizable presentable stable  $S$ -linear  $\infty$ -category. Then the canonical map*

$$\mathrm{TC}^-(\mathcal{C}) \otimes_{\mathrm{TC}^-(S), \varphi}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathrm{TP}(S) \longrightarrow \mathrm{TP}_{/\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathrm{poly}}(\mathcal{C})$$

*is an equivalence after  $(p, I)$ -completion, where  $I := \mathrm{fib}(\Delta_S \rightarrow \bar{\Delta}_S = S)$ .*

**Proof.** Applying Proposition 2.21 to the Bökstedt ring  $\mathrm{THH}(S)/(p, v_1)$  as in Example 2.13, we get the result up to  $(p, v_1)$ -completion. However, the commutative ring  $\pi_*(\mathrm{TP}(S)_p^\wedge)$ , we have  $v_1 \doteq I^p$  up to a unit (cf. [Mao24, §5]), thus  $(p, v_1)$ -completion is the same as  $(p, I)$ -completion for  $\mathrm{TP}(S)_p^\wedge$ -modules.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.24.** *Let  $R$  be a quasiregular semiperfectoid ring. Then the canonical map*

$$\mathrm{TC}^-(R) \longrightarrow \mathrm{TP}^{\mathrm{poly}}(R)$$

*is a localization after  $(p, I)$ -completion, where  $I := \mathrm{fib}(\Delta_R \rightarrow \bar{\Delta}_R)$ , which can be identified with  $\mathrm{TP}^{(-1)}(R)$  in [Man24].*

**Proof.** Pick a surjection  $S \twoheadrightarrow R$  for a perfectoid ring  $S$ . The result follows from Corollary 2.23 as the Frobenius map  $\varphi: \mathrm{TC}^-(S)_p^\wedge \rightarrow \mathrm{TP}(S)_p^\wedge$  is already a localization (at a class in  $\pi_2(\mathrm{TC}^-(S)_p^\wedge)$ ). The identification of this localization with  $\mathrm{TP}^{(-1)}(R)$  is established in [Gre25, Rem 1.3].  $\square$

Finally, we give a conceptual explanation<sup>2.3</sup> of continuity of TC established in [CMM21] via de-completed  $\mathrm{TC}^-$  and TP, which is much more akin to [AKN23, Prop 7.12]. Actually, the argument is parallel, as a consequence of the following simple category-theoretic observation:

**Lemma 2.25.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an  $\infty$ -category with finite limits. Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} x_{00} & \longrightarrow & x_{01} \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ x_{10} & \longrightarrow & x_{11} \end{array}$$

*be a diagram in  $\mathcal{C}$ , where the outer square is a Cartesian diagram, while the two inner triangles are **not** commutative.*

*Then the map from the limit of the upper triangle (viewed as a diagram  $\partial\Delta^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ ) to the limit of the lower triangle (viewed as a diagram  $\partial\Delta^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  as well) is an equivalence.*

**Proof.** It follows directly from “decomposing the diagram” (e.g. we decompose the lower triangle via  $\partial\Delta^2 = \Lambda_2^2 \amalg_{\partial\Delta^1} \Delta^1$ , where the composite functor  $\Lambda_2^2 \rightarrow \partial\Delta^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is the lower cospan ( $x_{10} \rightarrow x_{11} \leftarrow x_{01}$ ), and the composite  $\Delta^1 \rightarrow \partial\Delta^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is the “diagonal” arrow ( $x_{10} \rightarrow x_{01}$ ), and  $x_{00}$  is the limit of the first composite functor  $\Lambda_2^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ ). Alternatively, the proof of [AKN23, Prop 7.12] works in this case as well.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.26.** *Let  $(R, \mu)$  be a Bökstedt ring. Then the composite functor*

$$\mathrm{RMod}_R(\mathrm{CycSp}_p) \longrightarrow \mathrm{CycSp}_p \xrightarrow{\mathrm{TC}} \mathrm{Sp}$$

*preserves sifted colimits.*

**Proof.** Let  $M$  be a right  $R$ -module in cyclotomic spectra. Then the Frobenius map  $\varphi: M^{h\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow M^{t\mathbb{T}}$  factors as  $M^{h\mathbb{T}} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}} (M \otimes_R^{\mathbb{L}} R^{tC_p})^{h\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow M^{t\mathbb{T}}$ . Now we formulate the triangle  $\partial\Delta^2 \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}$  given by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & (M \otimes_R^{\mathbb{L}} R^{tC_p})^{h\mathbb{T}} \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ M^{h\mathbb{T}} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{can}} & M^{t\mathbb{T}} \end{array},$$

<sup>2.3</sup> We thank Georg TAMME for asking us such a question.



where the “diagonal” map is  $\tilde{\varphi}$ . Note that  $\mathrm{TC}(M)$  is precisely the limit of this triangle. Let  $P(M)$  denote the pullback

$$M^{h\mathbb{T}} \times_{\mathrm{can}, M^{t\mathbb{T}}} (M \otimes_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathbb{L}} R^{tC_p})^{h\mathbb{T}},$$

which gives rise to a functor  $P : \mathrm{RMod}_R(\mathrm{CycSp}_p) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}$ , understood as “de-completed homotopy fixed points”. By Lemma 2.25, the spectrum  $\mathrm{TC}(M)$  can also be computed by the limit of the upper triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P(M) & \longrightarrow & (M \otimes_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathbb{L}} R^{tC_p})^{h\mathbb{T}} \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \\ M^{h\mathbb{T}} & & \end{array}.$$

Since we have a fiber sequence  $\Sigma M_{h\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow P(M) \rightarrow (M \otimes_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathbb{L}} R^{tC_p})^{h\mathbb{T}}$ , it follows from Proposition 2.21 that  $P$  preserves sifted colimits, and so does  $\mathrm{TC}$  on  $\mathrm{RMod}_R(\mathrm{CycSp}_p)$ , as  $\mathrm{TC}(M)$  is an equalizer of  $P(M) \rightrightarrows (M \otimes_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathbb{L}} R^{tC_p})^{h\mathbb{T}}$ , where we invoke Proposition 2.21 again.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.27.** *Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -1}$ . Then the exact functor*

$$\mathrm{RMod}_{\mathrm{THH}(\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle)}(\mathrm{CycSp}_p) \rightarrow \mathrm{CycSp}_{(p, v_1, \dots, v_{n+1})}^{\wedge} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{TC}(-)^{\wedge}} \mathrm{Sp}_{(p, v_1, \dots, v_{n+1})}^{\wedge}$$

*preserves small colimits.*

**Proof.** It follows from applying Proposition 2.26 to the Bökstedt ring  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle)/(p, v_1, \dots, v_{n+1})$  as in Example 2.12.  $\square$

**Example 2.28.** Let  $(M_{\alpha})$  be a uniformly  $t$ -bounded below filtered diagram of  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle)$ -modules in  $\mathrm{CycSp}$ . Then  $(\mathrm{colim}_{\alpha} \mathrm{TC}(M_{\alpha}))_p^{\wedge}$  and  $\mathrm{TC}(\mathrm{colim}_{\alpha} M_{\alpha})_p^{\wedge}$  are  $t$ -bounded below. It follows that they are automatically  $(p, v_1, \dots, v_{n+1})$ -complete, and hence the canonical map

$$\mathrm{colim}_{\alpha} \mathrm{TC}(M_{\alpha}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{TC}\left(\mathrm{colim}_{\alpha} M_{\alpha}\right)$$

is an equivalence after  $p$ -completion. This reproduces [CMM21, Thm 2.7] for  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle)$ -modules in  $\mathrm{CycSp}$ . When the filtered system is not uniformly  $t$ -bounded below, Corollary 2.27 is potentially more informative.

**3 Completeness of motivic filtration** In this section, we will give a sufficient condition (Theorem 3.19) for completeness of motivic filtration on de-completed topological periodic cyclic homology. We also establish a Segal conjecture (Theorem 3.20) when the ring in question is additionally  $F$ -smooth. For this purpose, we first introduce a weak finite generation condition (Definition 3.13) for modules, based on Shannon’s notion of rank of flat modules. Then we deduce a connectivity (Corollary 3.18) for associated graded pieces of absolute prismatic cohomology with respect to Nygaard filtration under this finite generation of cotangent complex. The main completeness result then follows.

We start with a higher algebra version of Shannon’s rank of flat modules:

**Definition 3.1.** ([Sha70]) *Let  $R$  be a connective  $\mathbb{E}_1$ -ring. We say that a flat right  $R$ -module  $P$  is of finite rank (abbrev.  $\mathrm{rk}_R(P) < \infty$ ) if there exists a natural number  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $P$  is a filtered colimit of finite free right  $R$ -modules of rank  $\leq r$ , and in this case, we say that  $P$  is of rank  $\leq r$  (abbrev.  $\mathrm{rk}_R(P) \leq r$ ).*

**Example 3.2. (Ind-Zariski localizations)** The flat  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $\mathbb{Q}$  is of rank  $\leq 1$ , as we can write  $\mathbb{Q}$  as the sequential colimit of  $\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow (2!)^{-1}\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow (3!)^{-1}\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \dots$ . More generally, let  $R$  be an  $\mathbb{E}_1$ -ring,  $M$  a finite free right  $R$ -module, and  $S \subseteq \pi_0(R)$  a multiplicative subset satisfying right Ore condition in  $\pi_*(R)$ . Then the localization  $S^{-1}M$ , as a flat right  $R$ -module spectrum, is of rank  $\leq 1$ .

**Example 3.3. (Standard étale maps)** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring,  $g \in R[t]$  an  $R$ -polynomial, and  $f \in R[t]$  a monic  $R$ -polynomial. Let  $S := R[t]_f / (g)$ . Then  $\mathrm{rk}_R(S) \leq \deg(g)$ . Recall that, when the derivative  $f'$  is invertible in  $S$ , such a map  $R \rightarrow S$  is called a *standard étale map* [Sta21, Tag 00UB].

**Remark 3.4.** It follows from definition that

- Let  $R \rightarrow S$  be a map of connective  $\mathbb{E}_1$ -rings, and  $M$  a flat right  $R$ -module. Then  $\mathrm{rk}_S(M \otimes_R^{\mathbb{L}} S) \leq \mathrm{rk}_R(M)$ .
- Let  $R$  be a connective  $\mathbb{E}_1$ -ring, and  $M$  and  $N$  two flat right  $R$ -modules. Then  $\mathrm{rk}_R(M \oplus N) \leq \mathrm{rk}_R(M) + \mathrm{rk}_R(N)$ .
- Let  $R$  be a connective  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -ring, and  $M$  and  $N$  two flat  $R$ -modules. Then  $\mathrm{rk}_R(M \otimes_R^{\mathbb{L}} N) \leq \mathrm{rk}_R(M) \mathrm{rk}_R(N)$ .
- Let  $R$  and  $S$  be two connective  $\mathbb{E}_1$ -rings,  $M$  a flat right  $R$ -module, and  $N$  a flat right  $S$ -module. Then  $M \times N$  is a flat  $R \times S$ -module, with  $\mathrm{rk}_{R \times S}(M \times N) \leq \max\{\mathrm{rk}_R(M), \mathrm{rk}_S(N)\}$ .

We give a criterion for flat modules being of finite rank, as a higher algebra generalization of [Sha70, Thm 2].

**Notation 3.5.** Let  $R$  be a connective  $\mathbb{E}_1$ -ring, and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We will denote by  $R^{\oplus n}$ , or  $R^n$  when there is no ambiguity, the finite free (right)  $R$ -module of rank  $n$ . More generally, let  $M$  be a right  $R$ -module spectrum. We will denote by  $M^{\oplus n}$  the direct sum of  $n$  copies of  $M$ .

**Proposition 3.6.** Let  $R$  be a connective  $\mathbb{E}_1$ -ring,  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $P$  a flat right  $R$ -module. Then  $P$  is of rank  $\leq r$  if and only if, for every finite free right  $R$ -module  $L$ , every map  $L \rightarrow P$  factors through some  $R^s$  for  $s \leq r$ .

**Proof.**

**“Only if” part.** We write  $P$  as a filtered colimit  $\mathrm{colim}_\alpha L_\alpha$  with every  $L_\alpha$  being finite free of rank  $\leq r$ . By compactness of  $L$  in  $\mathrm{RMod}_R$ , we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{RMod}_R}(L, P) = \mathrm{colim}_\alpha \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{RMod}_R}(L, L_\alpha)$$

and the result follows.

**“If” part.** It suffices to check that the slice category  $(\mathrm{RMod}_R^{\mathrm{free}, \mathrm{rk} \leq r})_{/P}$  is filtered. By Lazard’s theorem [Lur17, Thm 7.2.2.15], the category  $(\mathrm{RMod}_R^{\mathrm{free}, \mathrm{rk} < \infty})_{/P}$  is filtered. In particular, any diagram  $q: K \rightarrow (\mathrm{RMod}_R^{\mathrm{free}, \mathrm{rk} \leq r})_{/P}$  extends to a diagram  $q^\triangleright: K^\triangleright \rightarrow (\mathrm{RMod}_R^{\mathrm{free}, \mathrm{rk} < \infty})_{/P}$ . By assumption, the map  $q^\triangleright(\infty) \rightarrow P$  factors through some  $R^s$  for  $s \leq r$ , where  $\infty \in K^\triangleright$  is the cocone point. The result then follows.  $\square$

It follows that

**Corollary 3.7.** Let  $R$  be a connective  $\mathbb{E}_1$ -ring,  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $P$  a flat right  $R$ -module. Then  $P$  is of rank  $\leq r$  if and only if so is the flat right  $\pi_0(R)$ -module  $\pi_0(P)$ .

As mentioned in the last paragraph of [Sha70] that, the rank of flat modules coincides with the usual rank over principal ideal domains. Actually, the same argument works over Bézout domains, and in particular, over valuation rings, which we record as follows.

**Lemma 3.8.** Let  $R$  be a Bézout domain (e.g. a valuation ring),  $K := \mathrm{Frac}(R)$ , and  $M$  a flat right  $R$ -module. Then  $\mathrm{rk}_R(M) = \dim_K(M \otimes_R K)$ .

**Proof.** First, suppose that  $\mathrm{rk}_R(M) \leq r$  for some  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $M$  is a filtered colimit of finite free  $R$ -modules of rank  $\leq r$ , which implies that  $M \otimes_R K$  is a filtered colimit of  $K$ -vector spaces of dimension  $\leq r$ , thus  $\dim_K(M \otimes_R K) \leq r$ .

On the other hand, suppose that  $\dim_K(M \otimes_R K) \leq r$ . Since  $M$  is flat, we know that the canonical map  $M \rightarrow M \otimes_R K$  is injective. Given any  $(r+1)$ -tuple  $(x_1, \dots, x_{r+1}) \in M^{\oplus(r+1)}$ , we see that the tuple  $(x_1 \otimes 1, \dots, x_{r+1} \otimes 1) \in (M \otimes_R K)^{\oplus(r+1)}$  is  $K$ -linear dependent. This implies that, there exists a tuple  $(a_1, \dots, a_{r+1}) \in R^{r+1}$  such that  $a_1 x_1 + \dots + a_{r+1} x_{r+1} = 0$ . Since  $R$  is a Bézout domain, we may divide  $a_i$  by  $\gcd(a_1, \dots, a_{r+1})$ , which reduces to the case that  $\{a_1, \dots, a_{r+1}\} \subseteq R$  generates the unit ideal.

We now show that the map  $f: R^{r+1} \rightarrow M, (b_1, \dots, b_{r+1}) \mapsto \sum x_i b_i$  factors through  $R^r$ . Indeed, we note that  $(a_1, \dots, a_{r+1}) \in \ker(f)$ , which implies that  $f$  factors through  $Q := R^{r+1}/(a_1, \dots, a_{r+1})R$ . However, since  $\{a_1, \dots, a_{r+1}\} \subseteq R$  generates the unit ideal, the map  $R \rightarrow R^{r+1}, r \mapsto (a_1 r, \dots, a_{r+1} r)$  of  $R$ -modules admits a retract. It follows that  $Q$  is a proper direct summand of  $R^{r+1}$ . Since  $R$  is a Bézout domain, we deduce that  $Q$  is a finite free  $R$ -module of rank  $r$ . By [Sha70, Thm 2] (or Proposition 3.6), we see that  $\mathrm{rk}_R(M) \leq r$ .  $\square$

We deduce a descent property of this finite generation condition.

**Proposition 3.9.** *Let  $R \rightarrow S$  be a faithfully flat map of connective  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -rings with  $\mathrm{rk}_R(S) < \infty$ , and  $M$  a flat  $R$ -module. Suppose that the flat  $S$ -module  $M \otimes_R^{\mathbb{L}} S$  is of finite rank, then so is the flat  $R$ -module  $M$ , with inequality*

$$\mathrm{rk}_R(M) \leq \mathrm{rk}_R(S) \mathrm{rk}_S(M \otimes_R^{\mathbb{L}} S).$$

**Proof.** Suppose that  $\mathrm{rk}_S(M \otimes_R^{\mathbb{L}} S) \leq r$  and  $\mathrm{rk}_R(S) \leq \mu$ . By Corollary 3.7, we may assume that  $R$  is concentrated in degree 0. Let  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in M^{\oplus n}$  corresponding to a map  $R^n \rightarrow M$  of  $R$ -modules. By [Sha70, Thm 2] (or Proposition 3.6), there exists  $(y_1, \dots, y_s) \in (M \otimes_R S)^{\oplus s}$  with  $s \leq r$ , such that  $x_i \otimes 1$  lies in  $\mathrm{Span}\{y_1, \dots, y_s\}$ . Since  $S$  is a filtered colimit of finite free  $R$ -modules of rank  $\leq \mu$ , we may choose some map  $R^t \rightarrow S$  with  $t \leq \mu$ , and each  $y_i \in M \otimes_R S$  admits a lift  $\tilde{y}_i \in M \otimes_R R^{\oplus t} \cong M^{\oplus t}$ , and we write  $\tilde{y}_i = (z_{i1}, \dots, z_{it})$ .

We claim that each  $x_j$  belongs to  $\mathrm{Span}\{z_{11}, z_{12}, \dots, z_{st}\}$ . Indeed, by construction, we have  $\tilde{y}_i$  lies in  $\mathrm{Span}\{z_{i1} \otimes 1, \dots, z_{it} \otimes 1\} \subseteq M \otimes_R R^{\oplus t}$ , and thus  $y_i \in \mathrm{Span}\{z_{i1} \otimes 1, \dots, z_{it} \otimes 1\} \subseteq M \otimes_R S$ . Consequently, each  $x_j \otimes 1 \in M \otimes_R S$  belongs to  $\mathrm{Span}\{z_{11} \otimes 1, z_{12} \otimes 1, \dots, z_{st} \otimes 1\}$ . The result then follows from the faithful flatness of  $R \rightarrow S$ .  $\square$

**Example 3.10. (Zariski descent)** Let  $R$  be a connective  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -ring,  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $(f_1, \dots, f_d) \in \pi_0(R)^d$  a tuple of elements generating the unit ideal of  $\pi_0(R)$ . Then the map  $R \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^d R_{f_i} =: S$  is a Zariski cover. By Example 3.2 and Remark 3.4, the flat  $R$ -module  $S$  is of rank  $\leq d$ .

Let  $M$  be a flat  $R$ -module such that each localization  $M_{f_i}$  is a flat  $R_{f_i}$ -module of finite rank. Then by Remark 3.4, the flat  $S$ -module  $M \otimes_R^{\mathbb{L}} S$  is of finite rank as well, and it follows from Proposition 3.9 that the flat  $R$ -module  $M$  is of finite rank.

**Example 3.11. (Étale descent)** Let  $R \rightarrow S$  be a faithfully flat étale map of connective  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -rings. By [Sta21, Tag 00UE], we may choose a Zariski cover  $S \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^d S_{f_i} =: T$  such that each composite  $R \rightarrow S \rightarrow S_{f_i}$  is a standard étale map on  $\pi_0$ . By Example 3.3, Remark 3.4, and Corollary 3.7 that the flat  $R$ -module  $T$  is of finite rank.

Let  $M$  be a flat  $R$ -module such that the flat  $S$ -module  $M \otimes_R^{\mathbb{L}} S$  is of finite rank. Then by Remark 3.4, the flat  $T$ -module  $M \otimes_R^{\mathbb{L}} T$  is of finite rank, and it follows from Proposition 3.9 that the flat  $R$ -module  $M$  is of finite rank as well.

**Remark 3.12.** There is a variant of Definition 3.1 when  $R$  is a connective  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -rings, where we replace finite free right  $R$ -modules of rank  $\leq r$  by finite *projective*  $R$ -modules of rank  $\leq r$ . For that version, previously statements adapt, and one can generalize Bézout domains to Prüfer domains in Lemma 3.8.

Now we come back to our weakening of finite generation condition.

**Definition 3.13.** *Let  $R$  be a connective  $\mathbb{E}_1$ -ring, and  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ . We say that a connective right  $R$ -module  $M$  is weakly finitely generated (of rank  $\leq r$ ) if, there exists a flat right  $R$ -module  $P$  of finite rank (of rank  $\leq r$ ), along with a surjective<sup>3.1</sup> map  $P \rightarrow M$  of  $R$ -modules.*

**Example 3.14.** Let  $R$  be a connective  $\mathbb{E}_1$ -ring, and  $M$  a connective right  $R$ -module finitely generated (i.e.  $\pi_0(M)$  is a finitely generated right  $\pi_0(R)$ -module). Then  $M$  is weakly finitely generated. This justifies the terminology.

<sup>3.1</sup> A map of connective  $R$ -modules is *surjective* if it is surjective on  $\pi_0$ .

It follows from Proposition 3.6 that

**Corollary 3.15.** *Let  $R$  be a connective  $\mathbb{E}_1$ -ring, and  $M$  a flat right  $R$ -module. Then  $M$  is weakly finitely generated if and only if it is of finite rank.*

A merit of this finite generation condition is an asymptotic connectivity of exterior powers.

**Lemma 3.16.** *Let  $R$  be an animated ring, and  $M$  a connective  $R$ -module weakly finitely generated. Then the  $R$ -module spectra  $\{(\bigwedge_R^j M)[-j] \mid j \in \mathbb{N}\}$  are uniformly  $t$ -bounded below, and the bound only depends on the rank of  $M$ .*

**Proof.** By definition, there exists a natural number  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , a flat  $R$ -module  $P$  which is a filtered colimit of finite free  $R$ -modules of rank  $\leq r$ , and a surjective map  $P \rightarrow M$  of connective  $R$ -modules. Let  $K := \text{fib}(P \rightarrow M)$ , which is connective as well, and we get a fiber sequence  $P \rightarrow M \rightarrow K[1]$ . It follows that, for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , the  $R$ -module spectrum  $(\bigwedge_R^j M)[-j]$  admits a finitary filtration (cf. [III71, §V.4]), whose associated graded pieces are given by

$$(\bigwedge_R^i P) \otimes_R^{\mathbb{L}} (\bigwedge_R^{j-i} K[1])[-j] \simeq (\bigwedge_R^i P) \otimes_R^{\mathbb{L}} (\Gamma_R^{j-i} K)[-i],$$

where we used Quillen and Illusie's décalage [III71, I.4.3.2.1], see also [Lur18, Prop 25.2.4.2]. Note that  $\bigwedge_R^i P = 0$  for  $i > r$  by Lazard's theorem [Lur17, Thm 7.2.2.15], as  $\bigwedge_R^i (-)$  preserves filtered colimits of  $R$ -module spectra, and it vanishes on finite free  $R$ -modules of rank  $\leq r$ . It follows that  $(\bigwedge_R^j M)[-j]$  is  $(-r)$ -connective.  $\square$

Let  $R$  be an animated ring. [BL22, Rem 5.5.9] showed that  $\text{gr}_N^m \Delta_R \{n\}$  is bounded below. Combining with Lemma 3.16, we adapt the same argument to show that, under such a weak finite generation, they are uniformly bounded below. Recall that the  $(p$ -completed) diffracted Hodge cohomology  $\Omega_R^\emptyset$  admits an increasing filtration  $\text{Fil}_*^{\text{conj}} \Omega_R^\emptyset$ , called the *conjugate filtration*, [BL22, Cons 4.7.1]. Connectivity of exterior powers gives rise to that of several cohomology theories:

**Lemma 3.17.** *Let  $R$  be an animated ring such that the spectra*

$$\{(\bigwedge_R^n L_{R/\mathbb{Z}})[-n] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{F}_p \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

*is uniformly  $t$ -bounded below. Then the  $R$ -module spectra*

$$\{\text{Fil}_s^{\text{conj}} \Omega_R^\emptyset \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{F}_p \mid s \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

*are uniformly  $t$ -bounded below, and the bound is the same as the previous bound.*

**Proof.** [BL22, Rem 4.5.3] identifies the associated graded pieces  $\text{gr}_*^{\text{conj}} \Omega_R^\emptyset$  with  $(p$ -completed) shifted exterior powers  $(\bigwedge_R^* L_{R/\mathbb{Z}})[-*]$ , and the result then follows.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.18.** *Let  $R$  be an animated ring such that the spectra*

$$\{(\bigwedge_R^n L_{R/\mathbb{Z}})[-n] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{F}_p \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

*is uniformly  $t$ -bounded below. Then the  $R$ -module spectra*

$$\{\text{gr}_N^m \Delta_R \{n\} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{F}_p \mid (m, n) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}\}$$

*and*

$$\{\text{Fil}_m^{\text{conj}} \overline{\Delta}_R \{n\} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{F}_p \mid (m, n) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}\}$$

*are uniformly  $t$ -bounded below, and the bound only depends on the  $p$ -complete rank of  $L_{R/\mathbb{Z}}$ . In particular, the spectra  $\{\hat{\Delta}_R \{n\} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  and  $\{\Delta_R \{n\} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  are  $p$ -completely<sup>3.2</sup> uniformly  $t$ -bounded below.*

<sup>3.2.</sup> That is to say, they are uniformly  $t$ -bounded below after  $(-) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{F}_p$ .

**Proof.** It follows from Lemma 3.17 and the fiber sequences

$$\mathrm{gr}_N^m \Delta_R \{n\} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Fil}_m^{\mathrm{conj}} \Omega_R^\emptyset \xrightarrow{\Theta+m} \mathrm{Fil}_{m-1}^{\mathrm{conj}} \Omega_R^\emptyset$$

and

$$\mathrm{Fil}_m^{\mathrm{conj}} \bar{\Delta}_R \{n\} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Fil}_m^{\mathrm{conj}} \Omega_R^\emptyset \xrightarrow{\Theta+n} \mathrm{Fil}_m^{\mathrm{conj}} \Omega_R^\emptyset$$

as established in [BL22, Rem 4.7.5 & 5.5.8].  $\square$

These connectivity estimates are sufficient for establishing the completeness of motivic filtration on  $\mathrm{TP}_{/\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathrm{poly}}$ . Recall that it is exhaustive (Corollary 2.7).

**Theorem 3.19.** *Let  $R$  be an animated ring such that the spectra*

$$\{(\bigwedge_R^n L_{R/\mathbb{Z}})[-n] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}_p \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

*is uniformly  $t$ -bounded below. Then  $\mathrm{Fil}_M^n(\mathrm{THH}_\Delta(R)^{\Phi_{C_p}})_p^\wedge$  is linearly increasingly connective as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and the motivic filtration on  $\mathrm{TP}_{/\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathrm{poly}}(R)$  is complete.*

**Proof.** Recall that the motivic filtration on  $\mathrm{THH}(R)$  is complete [BL22, Thm 6.2.4 & Prop 6.2.12]. By Remark 2.5, we are reduced to show that, after inverting  $\mu_1$  in  $\mathrm{Fil}_M(\mathrm{THH}(R) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}_p)$ , the motivic filtration remains complete. We prove this by connectivity. Indeed, the  $r$ -th filtration piece of  $\mathrm{Fil}_M(\mathrm{THH}(R) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}_p)[\mu_1^{-1}]$  is the sequential colimit of

$$\mathrm{Fil}_M^r(\mathrm{THH}(R) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}_p) \xrightarrow{\mu_1} \mathrm{Fil}_M^{r+p}(\mathrm{THH}(R) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}_p)[-2p] \xrightarrow{\mu_1} \dots$$

We note that  $\{\mathrm{Fil}_M^n \mathrm{THH}(R)[-2n] \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is  $p$ -completely  $t$ -bounded below by motivic completeness on  $\mathrm{THH}(R)$  and Corollary 3.18. It follows that

$$\{\mathrm{Fil}_M^{r+n} \mathrm{THH}(R)[-2n]\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} = \{\Sigma^{2r} \mathrm{Fil}_M^n \mathrm{THH}(R)[-2n]\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$$

is  $p$ -completely uniformly  $t$ -bounded below, and the given bound tends to  $\infty$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$  with linear growth. In particular, the motivic filtration on  $(\mathrm{THH}_\Delta(R)^{\Phi_{C_p}})_p^\wedge$  is complete, and it remains complete after taking  $(-)^{h\mathbb{T}_{\mathrm{ev}}}$ .  $\square$

This also implies that, when  $R$  is additionally  $F$ -smooth à la Bhatt–Mathew [BM23], the cyclotomic spectrum  $\mathrm{THH}(R)/(p, v_1)$  satisfies Segal conjecture relative to  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{Z})/(p, v_1)$ , by passing to associated graded pieces of motivic filtrations. Actually, the same argument implies that absolute Segal conjecture holds, which is slightly stronger by Proposition 2.16.

**Theorem 3.20.** *Let  $R$  be an  $F$ -smooth  $p$ -quasisyntomic commutative ring. Suppose such that the spectra*

$$\{(\bigwedge_R^n L_{R/\mathbb{Z}})[-n] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}_p \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

*is uniformly  $t$ -bounded below. Then the fiber  $\mathrm{fib}(\mathrm{THH}(R) \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}(R)^{t_{C_p}})$  of the cyclotomic Frobenius map is  $p$ -completely  $t$ -bounded above.*

**Proof.** [BM23, Rem 4.11] showed<sup>3.3</sup> that the filtered Frobenius map

$$\mathrm{Fil}_N^* \Delta_R \longrightarrow \Delta_R^{[*]}$$

induces an equivalence

$$\mathrm{gr}_N^i \Delta_R \longrightarrow \tau_{\geq -i} \mathrm{gr}^i \Delta_R^{[*]} \simeq \tau_{\geq -i} \bar{\Delta}_R \{i\}.$$

By Corollary 3.18, the Hodge–Tate cohomology  $\bar{\Delta}_R \{i\}$  for all  $i$ 's are uniformly  $t$ -bounded below. It follows that, the map

$$\mathrm{gr}_M^* \mathrm{THH}(R) \longrightarrow \mathrm{gr}_M^* \mathrm{THH}(R)^{t_{C_p}}$$

<sup>3.3</sup> We thank Hyungseop Kim for pointing this out to us.

is an equivalence after  $p$ -completion as  $* \gg 0$ . The result then follows from the completeness of motivic filtrations on  $\mathrm{THH}$  and  $\mathrm{THH}^{tC_p}$ , [BL22, Thm 6.2.4 & Prop 6.2.12] along with the trick of tensoring with  $\mathbb{T}/C_p$  as in [Rig25, Lem 3.8].  $\square$

We give some special cases of Theorems 3.19 and 3.20. We learned the following result from Daniel FINK.

**Lemma 3.21.** *Let  $R$  be an animated  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra. Then the  $R$ -module spectrum  $L_{R/\mathbb{F}_p}$  can be realized, functorially in  $R \in \mathrm{CAlg}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{\mathrm{an}}$ , as a retract of the cotangent complex  $L_\varphi$  of the Frobenius endomorphism  $\varphi: R \rightarrow R$ , viewed as a module over the target.*

**Proof.** This is implicit in the proof of [BF25, Lem 2.18]. We briefly review their argument. We have the transitivity sequence

$$L_{R/\mathbb{F}_p} \otimes_{R, \varphi}^{\mathbb{L}} R \longrightarrow L_{R/\mathbb{F}_p} \longrightarrow L_\varphi$$

of  $R$ -module spectra. It remains to specify a null-homotopy of the first map. Indeed, the first map is zero on polynomial  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebras  $R$ , which gives rise to a null-homotopy of the first map functorially in polynomial  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebras  $R$ . We then left Kan extend this null homotopy to all animated  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebras  $R$ .  $\square$

We introduce the following weakened version of  $F$ -finiteness.

**Definition 3.22.** *We say that an animated  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra  $R$  is weakly  $F$ -finite if the Frobenius map  $\varphi: R \rightarrow R$  realizes the target as a weakly finitely generated module over the source.*

This weakened  $F$ -finiteness gives rise to a weakened finite generation, just as usual  $F$ -finiteness:

**Lemma 3.23.** *Let  $R \rightarrow S$  be a map of animated rings. Suppose that, as an  $R$ -modules,  $S$  is weakly finitely generated. Then the cotangent complex  $L_{S/R}$  is weakly finitely generated. In particular, for every animated  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra  $A$  with Frobenius map  $\varphi: A \rightarrow A$ , the “target”  $A$ -module  $L_\varphi$  is weakly finitely generated.*

**Proof.** Pick a surjection  $P \rightarrow S$  where  $P$  is a flat  $R$ -module of rank  $\leq r$ . We write  $P$  as a filtered colimit  $\mathrm{colim}_{i \in \mathcal{I}} F_i$  of finite free  $R$ -modules of rank  $\leq r$ . Then we get a surjection

$$\mathrm{colim}_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \mathrm{LSym}_R(F_i) \longrightarrow S$$

of animated  $R$ -algebras, which gives rise to a surjection

$$\mathrm{colim}_{i \in \mathcal{I}} L_{\mathrm{LSym}_R(F_i)/R} \otimes_{\mathrm{LSym}_R(F_i)}^{\mathbb{L}} S \longrightarrow L_{S/R}$$

of  $S$ -module spectra, where the source is a filtered colimit of finite free  $S$ -modules of rank  $\leq r$ . The result then follows.  $\square$

**Example 3.24.** Let  $R$  be an animated ring such that the animated  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra  $R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{F}_p$  is weakly  $F$ -finite. It then follows from Lemmas 3.21, 3.23, and 3.16 and Theorem 3.19 that the motivic filtration on  $\mathrm{TP}_{/\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathrm{poly}}(R)$  is complete.

**Example 3.25.** Let  $R$  be an  $F$ -smooth  $p$ -quasisyntomic ring such that the animated ring  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra  $R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{F}_p$  is weakly  $F$ -finite. By Lemmas 3.21, 3.23, and 3.16 and Theorem 3.20, we see that  $\mathrm{THH}(R)_p^\wedge$  satisfies Segal conjecture. This includes qualitative versions for both smooth algebras over a perfectoid ring [Mat21, Prop 5.10] and regular rings whose reduction mod  $p$  is  $F$ -finite ([Mat21, Cor 5.3] in the excellent case, and [BM23, Cor 4.20] in general).

**Example 3.26.** We give an example of a Cartier smooth  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra which is weakly  $F$ -finite but not  $F$ -finite. Let  $A$  be a smooth  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra, and  $S \subseteq A$  a multiplicative subset. Then the subring  $R := A + t(S^{-1}A)[t] \subseteq (S^{-1}A)[t]$  is Cartier smooth, as it is the filtered colimit  $\mathrm{colim}_{s \in S} A[s^{-1}t]$ . The  $R$ -module  $L_{R/\mathbb{F}_p}$  is not finitely generated in general (say,  $A = \mathbb{F}_p[x]$  and  $S = \{x^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ ), but  $R$  is weakly  $F$ -finite.



**Example 3.27. (non  $F$ -finite DVR)** Let  $k$  be a perfect field of char  $p$ , and let  $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n t^n \in k[[t]]$  be a formal power series with zero constant coefficient which is transcendental over  $k[t]$ . Let  $f_j := \sum_{n=1}^j a_n t^n$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} u_j &:= \frac{(f - f_j)^p}{t^j} \\ &= \sum_{n=j+1}^{\infty} a_n^p t^{np-j} \end{aligned}$$

for  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then the localization  $k[t, u_0, \dots, u_j, \dots]_{(t, u_0, \dots, u_j, \dots)} \subseteq k[[t]]$  is a DVR, but it is not excellent, as established in [Val73]. However, it is weakly  $F$ -finite, being a filtered union of the subrings  $k[t, u_0]_{(t, u_0)} \subseteq k[t, u_1]_{(t, u_1)} \subseteq k[t, u_2]_{(t, u_2)} \subseteq \dots$  (note that we have  $t u_{j+1} - u_j \in t k[[t]]$ ).

**Question 1.** Do we have any regular local  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra with perfect residue field, which is not weakly  $F$ -finite?

**4 Crystalline degeneration of Segal conjecture** In [HW22], the authors proved Segal conjecture for  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle)$ . In this section, we show that their argument implies a general statement (Proposition 4.2) which allows us to deduce Segal conjecture of a “formal  $\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle$ -scheme” from Segal conjecture for its special fiber over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . We refer to this argument as *crystalline degeneration* by virtue of its resemblance to [AKN24, §2.2].

First, the proof of [HRW22, Prop C.5.4] actually shows that

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $A$  be a connective  $\mathbb{E}_1$ -ring. Then for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the filtered object*

$$\pi_n(\mathrm{THH}(\mathrm{desc}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{\geq *}(A)) / v_0)$$

*is eventually constant, converging to  $\mathrm{THH}(A)_p^\wedge$ .*

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $M$  be a right  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle)$ -module in genuine  $C_p$ -spectra, such that  $M/(p, v_1, \dots, v_n)$  is  $t$ -bounded below. Suppose that the canonical map*

$$M^{\Phi C_p} \otimes_{\mathrm{THH}(\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle)}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{F}_p) \longrightarrow (M \otimes_{\mathrm{THH}(\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle)}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{F}_p))^{t C_p}$$

*is an equivalence on  $\pi_*$  for  $* \gg 0$ . Then so is the map*

$$M^{\Phi C_p} \otimes_{\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{F}_p \longrightarrow M^{t C_p} \otimes_{\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{F}_p$$

*induced by the canonical map  $M^{\Phi C_p} \rightarrow M^{t C_p}$ .*

**Proof.** We endow  $\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle$  the  $\mathbb{N}$ -graded decreasing filtration  $\mathrm{desc}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{\geq *}(\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle)$  coming from the Adams spectral sequence as in [HW22, Rec 4.3.2], with

$$\pi_*(\mathrm{gr}(\mathrm{desc}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{\geq *}(\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle))) = \mathbb{F}_p[v_0, \dots, v_n],$$

where  $\mathrm{wt}(v_i) = 2p^i - 1$ . Then the cyclotomic spectrum  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle)$  is equipped with an  $\mathbb{N}$ -graded decreasing filtration  $\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{cris}}^*$  as well, concretely given by

$$\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{cris}}^* \mathrm{THH}(\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle) = \mathrm{THH}(\mathrm{desc}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{\geq *}(\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle)).$$

This induces an  $\mathbb{N}$ -graded decreasing filtration  $\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{cris}}^*$  on the canonical map  $M^{\Phi C_p} \rightarrow M^{t C_p}$ , and it suffices to see that the filtered map (for convenience, we omit the grading shear  $L_p$  on the source)

$$\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{cris}}^* M^{\Phi C_p} \otimes_{\mathrm{desc}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{\geq *}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{F}_p \longrightarrow \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{cris}}^* M^{t C_p} \otimes_{\mathrm{desc}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{\geq *}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{F}_p \quad (4.1)$$

is an equivalence in high enough degrees.

We first show this after passing to associated graded pieces. For this, we do not need the bounded-below-ness of the genuine  $C_p$ -spectrum  $M$ . Indeed, let  $M_{\mathbb{F}_p} := M \otimes_{\mathrm{THH}(\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle)}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ . The associated graded pieces of the map (4.1) is the composite graded map

$$\begin{aligned} & M_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{\Phi C_p} \otimes_{\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{F}_p)}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{F}_p[v_0, \dots, v_n]) / (v_0, \dots, v_n) \\ \longrightarrow & M_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{tC_p} \otimes_{\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{F}_p)}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{F}_p[v_0, \dots, v_n]) / (v_0, \dots, v_n) \\ \longrightarrow & (M_{\mathbb{F}_p} \otimes_{\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{F}_p)}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{F}_p[v_0, \dots, v_n]))^{tC_p} / (v_0, \dots, v_n). \end{aligned}$$

The first map is an equivalence in high enough degrees, as it is tensoring the canonical map  $M_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{\Phi C_p} \rightarrow M_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{tC_p}$ , which is an equivalence in high enough degrees by assumption, with  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{F}_p[v_0, \dots, v_n]) / (v_0, \dots, v_n)$ . The second map is an equivalence by [HW22, Lem 4.1.3] (see the last paragraph of the proof of [HW22, Prop 4.2.2]).

We now show the completeness of filtrations on the source and the target of the filtered map (4.1). By Lemma 4.1 and that the genuine  $C_p$ -spectrum  $M$  is bounded below, the filtration  $\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{cris}}^*$  is  $(p, v_1, \dots, v_n)$ -completely eventually constant on  $M^{\Phi C_p}$  and  $M$ , thus the filtration  $\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{cris}}^*$  is complete on  $M^{tC_p}$  by [HW22, Cor C.4.3]. The result then follows from the equivalence

$$\mathrm{desc}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{\geq *}(\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle) / (v_0, \tilde{v}_1, \dots, \tilde{v}_n) \simeq \mathbb{F}_p$$

as in the proof of [HW22, Thm 4.3.1].  $\square$

**Example 4.3.** Let  $R$  be a  $(-1)$ -connective  $\mathbb{E}_1$ - $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra. Suppose that the cyclotomic spectrum  $\mathrm{THH}(R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{F}_p)$  satisfies Segal conjecture. Then Proposition 4.2 implies that, the cyclotomic spectrum  $\mathrm{THH}(R)$  satisfies Segal conjecture  $p$ -completely. This can be viewed as a shadow of the fact that, a  $p$ -quasisyntomic  $p$ -torsion-free commutative ring  $R$  is  $F$ -smooth if the commutative  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra  $R/p$  is Cartier smooth, [BM23, Cor 4.17].

**5 Relative conjugate filtration** In this section, we will present a general procedure (Construction 5.1), which allows us to produce a relative conjugate filtration (Example 5.4) on Hodge–Tate cohomology  $\Delta_R$  for any map  $k \rightarrow R$  of animated commutative rings, a mixed characteristic analogue of [Bha12, Prop 3.22]. In the same time, it also allows us to produce a relative conjugate filtration (Example 5.5) on both  $\mathrm{THH}_{\Delta}(\mathcal{C})^{\Phi C_p}$  and  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes_{\mathrm{THH}(k)}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathrm{THH}(k)^{tC_p}$  after  $p$ -completion, for every animated commutative ring  $k$  and every  $k$ -linear DG-category  $\mathcal{C}$ , mixed characteristic and relative versions of Kaledin’s conjugate filtration. We also discuss the completeness of these filtrations (Propositions 5.7 and 5.8).

**Construction 5.1.** To simplify notation, everything is  $p$ -completed. Let  $k$  be an animated ring. The theory of synthetic THH as in [AR24] gives rise to an  $\mathbb{E}_{\infty}$ -algebra

$$\mathrm{Fil}_M^{\geq 0}(\mathrm{THH}(k) \otimes_{\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{Z})}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{Z})^{tC_p}) = \mathrm{Fil}_M^{\geq 0} \mathrm{THH}_{\Delta}(k)^{\Phi C_p}$$

in  $\mathrm{SynSp}$ . This gives rise to a  $\mathbb{N}$ -filtered  $\mathbb{E}_{\infty}$ -algebra<sup>5.1</sup>  $\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{KO}}^* \mathrm{Fil}_M^{\geq 0} \mathrm{THH}_{\Delta}(k)^{\Phi C_p}$  in  $\mathrm{SynSp}$ , concretely given by

$$\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{KO}}^j \mathrm{Fil}_M^{\geq 0} \mathrm{THH}_{\Delta}(k)^{\Phi C_p} := \mathrm{Fil}_M^{\geq j} \mathrm{THH}_{\Delta}(k)^{\Phi C_p} \in \mathrm{SynSp}$$

for  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , where given a filtered object  $\mathrm{Fil} W$ , the filtered object of  $\mathrm{Fil}^{\geq j} W$  is

$$\dots \xleftarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{Fil}_M^j W \xleftarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{Fil}_M^j W \leftarrow \mathrm{Fil}_M^{j+1} W \leftarrow \dots,$$

that is, first restricting to  $\mathbb{N}_{\geq j}$  then left Kan extend along  $(\mathbb{N}_{\geq j}, \geq) \rightarrow (\mathbb{N}, \geq)$ .

Let  $N$  be a  $\mathrm{Fil}_M^{\geq 0} \mathrm{THH}_{\Delta}(k)^{\Phi C_p}$ -module in  $\mathrm{SynSp}$ . The  $\mathbb{N}$ -graded filtration on  $\mathrm{Fil}_M^{\geq 0} \mathrm{THH}_{\Delta}(k)^{\Phi C_p}$  gives rise to an  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded filtration on

$$N' := N \otimes_{\mathrm{Fil}_M^{\geq 0} \mathrm{THH}_{\Delta}(k)^{\Phi C_p}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathrm{Fil}_M \mathrm{THH}_{\Delta}(k)^{\Phi C_p},$$

<sup>5.1</sup> Along with the “internal” filtration of synthetic spectra, this can be seen as a bi-filtered object, but we prefer to view it as a filtered synthetic spectrum. In addition, the subscript KO is an abbreviation for Katz–Oda, as the procedure here is the same as the procedure of producing Katz–Oda filtration on derived de Rham cohomology.

given by

$$\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{KO}}^j N' := N \otimes_{\mathrm{Fil}_M^{\geq 0} \mathrm{THH}_{\Delta}(k)^{\Phi_{C_p}}} \mathrm{Fil}_M^{\geq j} \mathrm{THH}_{\Delta}(k)^{\Phi_{C_p}} \in \mathrm{SynSp}$$

for  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We see that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{gr}_{\mathrm{KO}}^j N' &= N \otimes_{\mathrm{Fil}_M^{\geq 0} \mathrm{THH}_{\Delta}(k)^{\Phi_{C_p}}} \mathrm{ins}^j \mathrm{gr}_M^j \mathrm{THH}(k)^{t_{C_p}} \\ &= N \otimes_{\mathrm{Fil}_M^{\geq 0} \mathrm{THH}_{\Delta}(k)^{\Phi_{C_p}}} \mathrm{ins}^j \bar{\Delta}_k \{j\} [2j] \in \mathrm{SynSp}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathrm{ins}^j$  is the left Kan extension along  $\{j\} \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{N}, \geq)$ , see [Rak20, Not 3.1.1]. Moreover, unwinding the construction, we see that the  $\mathrm{Fil}_M^{\geq 0} \mathrm{THH}_{\Delta}(k)^{\Phi_{C_p}}$ -module structure on  $\mathrm{ins}^j \bar{\Delta}_k \{j\} [2j]$  is induced by the  $\mathrm{gr}_M^0 \mathrm{THH}_{\Delta}(k)^{\Phi_{C_p}} = \bar{\Delta}_k$ -module structure on  $\bar{\Delta}_k \{j\} [2j]$ .

We may replace  $\mathrm{THH}_{\Delta}(k)^{\Phi_{C_p}}$  by  $\mathrm{THH}(k)^{t_{C_p}}$  throughout, and for every  $\mathrm{Fil}_M^{\geq 0} \mathrm{THH}(k)^{t_{C_p}}$ -module  $N$  in  $\mathrm{SynSp}$ , we get an  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded filtration on

$$N' := N \otimes_{\mathrm{Fil}_M^{\geq 0} \mathrm{THH}(k)^{t_{C_p}}} \mathrm{Fil}_M \mathrm{THH}(k)^{t_{C_p}},$$

with associated graded pieces

$$\mathrm{gr}_{\mathrm{KO}}^j N' = N \otimes_{\mathrm{Fil}_M^{\geq 0} \mathrm{THH}(k)^{t_{C_p}}} \mathrm{ins}^j \hat{\Delta}_k \{j\} [2j] \in \mathrm{SynSp}$$

for  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Example 5.2.** To simplify notation, everything is  $p$ -completed. Let  $k$  be an animated ring, and  $M$  a  $\mathrm{Fil}_M \mathrm{THH}(k)$ -module in  $\mathrm{SynSp}$ . Apply Construction 5.1 to the  $\mathrm{Fil}_M \mathrm{THH}_{\Delta}(k)^{\Phi_{C_p}}$ -module

$$M \otimes_{\mathrm{Fil}_M \mathrm{THH}(k)} \mathrm{Fil}_M^{\geq 0} \mathrm{THH}_{\Delta}(k)^{\Phi_{C_p}}$$

in  $\mathrm{SynSp}$ , we get a filtered synthetic spectrum

$$\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{KO}}^*(M \otimes_{\mathrm{Fil}_M \mathrm{THH}(k)} \mathrm{Fil}_M \mathrm{THH}_{\Delta}(k)^{\Phi_{C_p}})$$

with  $j$ -th associated graded pieces given by

$$\begin{aligned} &M \otimes_{\mathrm{Fil}_M \mathrm{THH}(k)} \mathrm{ins}^j \bar{\Delta}_k \{j\} [2j] \\ &= (M \otimes_{\mathrm{Fil}_M \mathrm{THH}(k)} \mathrm{ins}^0 \mathrm{gr}_M^0 \mathrm{THH}(k)) \otimes_{\mathrm{ins}^0 \mathrm{gr}_M^0 \mathrm{THH}(k)} \mathrm{ins}^j \bar{\Delta}_k \{j\} [2j] \\ &= (M \otimes_{\mathrm{Fil}_M \mathrm{THH}(k)} \mathrm{ins}^0 k) \otimes_{\mathrm{ins}^0 k} \mathrm{ins}^j \bar{\Delta}_k \{j\} [2j], \end{aligned}$$

for  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where in the second equality, we take advantage of the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Fil}_M \mathrm{THH}(k) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Fil}_M \mathrm{THH}_{\Delta}(k)^{\Phi_{C_p}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{ins}^0 \mathrm{gr}_M^0 \mathrm{THH}(k) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{ins}^0 \mathrm{gr}_M^0 \mathrm{THH}_{\Delta}(k)^{\Phi_{C_p}} \end{array}$$

of  $\mathbb{E}_{\infty}$ -algebras of synthetic spectra. Unwinding the construction, we see that the  $\mathrm{ins}^0 k$ -module structure on  $\mathrm{ins}^j \bar{\Delta}_k \{j\} [2j]$  is given by the canonical map  $\varphi_0 : k \rightarrow \bar{\Delta}_k$ . Similarly, we get a filtered synthetic spectrum

$$\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{KO}}^*(M \otimes_{\mathrm{Fil}_M \mathrm{THH}(k)} \mathrm{Fil}_M \mathrm{THH}(k)^{t_{C_p}})$$

with  $j$ -th associated graded piece given by

$$(M \otimes_{\mathrm{Fil}_M \mathrm{THH}(k)} \mathrm{ins}^0 k) \otimes_{\mathrm{ins}^0 k} \mathrm{ins}^j \hat{\Delta}_k \{j\} [2j]$$

for  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Notation 5.3.** Let  $k \rightarrow R$  be a map of animated ring, and  $\mathcal{C}$  a dualizable presentable stable  $k$ -linear  $\infty$ -category. We will denote by  $\mathrm{THH}_{\Delta/k}(\mathcal{C})^{\Phi_{C_p}}$  the  $\mathbb{T}$ -equivariant spectrum

$$\mathrm{THH}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes_{\mathrm{THH}(k)} \mathrm{THH}(k)^{t_{C_p}},$$

and by  $\mathrm{Fil}_M \mathrm{THH}_{\Delta/k}(R)^{\Phi C_p}$  the  $\mathbb{T}_{\mathrm{ev}}$ -equivariant synthetic spectrum

$$\mathrm{Fil}_M \mathrm{THH}(R) \otimes_{\mathrm{Fil}_M \mathrm{THH}(k)}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathrm{Fil}_M \mathrm{THH}(k)^{tC_p}.$$

**Example 5.4.** To simplify notation, everything is  $p$ -completed. Let  $k \rightarrow R$  be a map of animated rings. Take  $M = \mathrm{Fil}_M \mathrm{THH}(R)$  in Example 5.2, we get a filtered synthetic spectrum<sup>5.2</sup>  $\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{KO}/k} \mathrm{Fil}_M \mathrm{THH}_{\Delta}(R)^{\Phi C_p}$ , with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{gr}_{\mathrm{KO}/k}^j \mathrm{Fil}_M \mathrm{THH}_{\Delta}(R)^{\Phi C_p} &= (\mathrm{Fil}_M \mathrm{THH}(R) \otimes_{\mathrm{Fil}_M \mathrm{THH}(k)}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathrm{ins}^0 k) \otimes_{\mathrm{ins}^0 k}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathrm{ins}^j \bar{\Delta}_k \{j\} [2j] \\ &= \mathrm{Fil}_M \mathrm{HH}(R/k) \otimes_{\mathrm{ins}^0 k}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathrm{ins}^j \bar{\Delta}_k \{j\} [2j] \in \mathrm{SynSp}, \end{aligned}$$

and a filtered synthetic spectrum  $\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{KO}/k} \mathrm{Fil}_M \mathrm{THH}_{\Delta/k}(R)^{\Phi C_p}$ , with

$$\mathrm{gr}_{\mathrm{KO}/k}^j \mathrm{Fil}_M \mathrm{THH}_{\Delta/k}(R)^{\Phi C_p} = \mathrm{Fil}_M \mathrm{HH}(R/k) \otimes_{\mathrm{ins}^0 k}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathrm{ins}^j \hat{\Delta}_k \{j\} [2j] \in \mathrm{SynSp}.$$

Passing to the  $i$ th associated graded piece of the synthetic spectra, we get filtered objects  $\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{KO}/k} \bar{\Delta}_R \{i\} [2i]$ , with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{gr}_{\mathrm{KO}/k}^j \bar{\Delta}_R \{i\} [2i] &= (\bigwedge_R^{i-j} L_{R/k}) [i-j] \otimes_k^{\mathbb{L}} \bar{\Delta}_k \{j\} [2j] \\ &= (\bigwedge_R^{i-j} L_{R/k}) \otimes_k^{\mathbb{L}} \bar{\Delta}_k \{j\} [i+j], \\ \mathrm{gr}_{\mathrm{KO}/k}^j \bar{\Delta}_R \{i\} &= (\bigwedge_R^{i-j} L_{R/k}) \otimes_k^{\mathbb{L}} \bar{\Delta}_k \{j\} [j-i]. \end{aligned}$$

We note that this filtration is concentrated in degrees  $j \leq i$ , and in the usual convention, one might invert the sign of the weight to make it an increasing filtration. One also sees that this (inverted) increasing filtration starts with zero, which is a special case of Proposition 5.7. When  $k$  is an animated  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra, this recovers [Bha12, Prop 3.22] for the composite map  $\mathbb{F}_p \rightarrow k \rightarrow R$  of animated rings, thus we view our result as a mixed characteristic analogue of that.

**Example 5.5.** To simplify notation, everything is  $p$ -completed. Let  $k$  be an animated ring, and  $\mathcal{C}$  a dualizable presentable  $k$ -linear stable  $\infty$ -category. Take  $M$  to be  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathcal{C})$ , with induced synthetic spectrum structure from  $\mathrm{Fil}_M \mathrm{THH}(k)$ , in Example 5.2, we get a filtered synthetic spectrum, whose underlying filtered spectrum under forgetful functor  $\mathrm{SynSp} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}$  is denoted by  $\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{KO}/k} \mathrm{THH}_{\Delta}(\mathcal{C})^{\Phi C_p}$ , with

$$\mathrm{gr}_{\mathrm{KO}/k}^j \mathrm{THH}_{\Delta}(\mathcal{C})^{\Phi C_p} = \mathrm{HH}(\mathcal{C}/k) \otimes_k^{\mathbb{L}} \bar{\Delta}_k \{j\} [2j],$$

and another filtered spectrum  $\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{KO}/k} \mathrm{THH}_{\Delta/k}(\mathcal{C})^{\Phi C_p}$ , with

$$\mathrm{gr}_{\mathrm{KO}/k}^j \mathrm{THH}_{\Delta/k}(\mathcal{C})^{\Phi C_p} = \mathrm{HH}(\mathcal{C}/k) \otimes_k^{\mathbb{L}} \hat{\Delta}_k \{j\} [2j].$$

We make some final remarks on completeness of Katz–Oda filtration, which is, by construction, exhaustive. The case is direct if we consider  $\tau$ -complete synthetic spectra instead.

**Notation 5.6.** Let  $\widehat{\mathrm{SynSp}} \subseteq \mathrm{SynSp}$  denote the full subcategory of  $\tau$ -complete synthetic spectra, which admits a left adjoint  $\widehat{(-)} : \mathrm{SynSp} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathrm{SynSp}}$ .

**Proposition 5.7.** Let  $k$  be an animated ring, and  $N$  a  $\mathrm{Fil}_M^{\geq 0} \mathrm{THH}_{\Delta}(k)^{\Phi C_p}$ -module in  $\mathrm{SynSp}$  which is weight-bounded below. Then the filtered synthetic spectra  $\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{KO}} N'$  in both cases of Construction 5.1 is complete after  $\tau$ -completion to  $\widehat{\mathrm{SynSp}}$ .

**Proof.** Since the functor  $\mathrm{gr} : \widehat{\mathrm{SynSp}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{gr}(\mathbb{S}_{\mathrm{ev}})}(\mathrm{Gr}(\mathrm{Sp}))$  preserves small colimits and is conservative, it suffice to in  $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{gr}(\mathbb{S}_{\mathrm{ev}})}(\mathrm{Gr}(\mathrm{Sp}))$ . In this case, since  $N$  is weight-bounded below, one can see that the limit tower  $(\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{KO}}^n N')_n$ , after passing to  $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathrm{Sp})$ , is degreewise eventually zero.  $\square$

<sup>5.2.</sup> We replace the subscript KO by KO/ $k$  to stress that the base is  $k$ .

Now we address the motivic completeness on the nose, which is equivalent to the motivic completeness of the underlying spectrum if the motivic completeness holds after  $\tau$ -completion.

**Proposition 5.8.** *Let  $k$  be an animated ring, and  $M$  a  $\mathrm{Fil}_M \mathrm{THH}(k)$ -module in  $\mathrm{SynSp}$  which is weight-bounded below. Suppose that  $M$  is uniformly  $t$ -bounded below.*

1. *If the spectra  $\{\mathrm{gr}_N^m \Delta_k \{n\} \mid (m, n) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}\}$  is uniformly  $t$ -bounded below (this is the case when the  $k$ -module  $L_{k/\mathbb{Z}}$  is  $p$ -completely weakly finitely generated by Corollary 3.18), then the Katz–Oda filtration on*

$$M \otimes_{\mathrm{Fil}_M \mathrm{THH}(k)}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathrm{Fil}_M \mathrm{THH}_{\Delta}(k)^{\Phi_{C_p}}$$

*in Example 5.2 is complete;*

2. *If the Nygaard-completed Hodge–Tate  $\hat{\Delta}\{n\}$  is  $t$ -bounded below uniformly in  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  (this is the case when the  $k$ -module  $L_{k/\mathbb{Z}}$  is  $p$ -completely weakly finitely generated by Corollary 3.18), then the Katz–Oda filtration on*

$$M \otimes_{\mathrm{Fil}_M \mathrm{THH}(k)}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathrm{Fil}_M \mathrm{THH}(k)^{t_{C_p}}$$

*in Example 5.2 is complete.*

**Proof.** Both cases follows from connectivity estimates of  $\mathrm{Fil}_M \mathrm{THH}_{\Delta}(k)^{\Phi_{C_p}}$  and  $\mathrm{Fil}_M \mathrm{THH}(k)^{t_{C_p}}$ . Indeed, in the first case, connectivity estimates are established in the proof of Theorem 3.19, while the second connectivity estimates follow from the motivic completeness of  $\mathrm{THH}(k)^{t_{C_p}}$  for animated rings  $k$ , cf. [BL22, Cor 6.2.15] along with the trick of tensoring with  $\mathbb{T}/C_p$  as in [Rig25, Lem 3.8].  $\square$

**6 Transitivity of  $F$ -smoothness** The goal of this section is to establish transitivity of  $F$ -smoothness. For this purpose, we first introduce a variant of conjugate filtration in Section 5 on associate graded pieces of Nygaard-filtered absolute prismatic cohomology, which leads to a transitivity of weak  $F$ -smoothness (Theorem 6.7). Then we discuss a relative Nygaard completeness (Definition 6.8), which is implied by  $p$ -Cartier smoothness under the assumption that the base is weakly  $F$ -smooth (Theorem 6.10). This allows us to deduce transitivity of  $F$ -smoothness (Corollary 6.11).

**Construction 6.1.** To simplify notation, everything is  $p$ -completed. Let  $k$  be an animated ring, and  $M$  a  $\mathrm{Fil}_M \mathrm{THH}(k)$ -module in  $\mathrm{SynSp}$ . As in Construction 5.1 and Example 5.2, the filtration given by  $\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{KO}}^j \mathrm{Fil}_M \mathrm{THH}(k) := \mathrm{Fil}_M^{\geq j} \mathrm{THH}(k)$  on  $\mathrm{Fil}_M \mathrm{THH}(k)$  gives rise to a filtration  $\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{KO}} M$  on  $M$ , with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{gr}_{\mathrm{KO}}^j M &= M \otimes_{\mathrm{Fil}_M \mathrm{THH}(k)}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathrm{ins}^j \mathrm{gr}_M^j \mathrm{THH}(k) \\ &= (M \otimes_{\mathrm{Fil}_M \mathrm{THH}(k)}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathrm{ins}^0 k) \otimes_{\mathrm{ins}^0 k}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathrm{ins}^j \mathrm{gr}_N^j \Delta_k \{j\}[2j] \in \mathrm{SynSp}. \end{aligned}$$

**Example 6.2.** To simplify notation, everything is  $p$ -completed. Let  $k$  be an animated ring, and  $\mathcal{C}$  a dualizable presentable  $k$ -linear stable  $\infty$ -category. Take  $M$  to be  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathcal{C})$ , with induced synthetic spectrum structure from  $\mathrm{Fil}_M \mathrm{THH}(k)$ , in Example 5.2, we get a filtered synthetic spectrum, whose underlying filtered spectrum under forgetful functor  $\mathrm{SynSp} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}$  is denoted by  $\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{KO}/k} \mathrm{THH}(\mathcal{C})$ , with

$$\mathrm{gr}_{\mathrm{KO}/k}^j \mathrm{THH}(\mathcal{C}) = \mathrm{HH}(\mathcal{C}/k) \otimes_k^{\mathbb{L}} \mathrm{gr}_N^j \Delta_k \{j\}[2j].$$

**Example 6.3.** To simplify notation, everything is  $p$ -completed. Let  $k \rightarrow R$  be a map of animated rings. Then as in Example 5.4, applying Construction 6.1 to  $M = \mathrm{Fil}_M \mathrm{THH}(R)$ , we get a filtered synthetic spectrum  $\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{KO}/k} \mathrm{Fil}_M \mathrm{THH}(R)$ , with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{gr}_{\mathrm{KO}/k}^j \mathrm{Fil}_M \mathrm{THH}(R) &= (\mathrm{Fil}_M \mathrm{THH}(R) \otimes_{\mathrm{Fil}_M \mathrm{THH}(k)}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathrm{ins}^0 k) \otimes_{\mathrm{ins}^0 k}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathrm{ins}^j \mathrm{gr}_N^j \Delta_k \{j\}[2j] \\ &= \mathrm{Fil}_M \mathrm{HH}(R/k) \otimes_{\mathrm{ins}^0 k}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathrm{ins}^j \mathrm{gr}_N^j \Delta_k \{j\}[2j]. \end{aligned}$$

Passing to the  $i$ th associated graded pieces of the synthetic spectra, we get filtered objects  $\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{KO}/k} \mathrm{gr}_N^i \Delta_k \{i\}[2i]$ , with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{gr}_{\mathrm{KO}/k}^j \mathrm{gr}_N^i \Delta_k \{i\}[2i] &= (\bigwedge_R^{i-j} L_{R/k})[i-j] \otimes_k^{\mathbb{L}} \mathrm{gr}_N^j \Delta_k \{j\}[2j] \\ &= (\bigwedge_R^{i-j} L_{R/k}) \otimes_k^{\mathbb{L}} \mathrm{gr}_N^j \Delta_k \{j\}[i+j], \\ \mathrm{gr}_{\mathrm{KO}/k}^j \mathrm{gr}_N^i \Delta_k \{i\} &= (\bigwedge_R^{i-j} L_{R/k}) \otimes_k^{\mathbb{L}} \mathrm{gr}_N^j \Delta_k \{j\}[j-i], \end{aligned}$$

which is concentrated in weights  $j \leq i$ , thus the filtration is complete and exhaustive, a special case of Proposition 6.4.

Again, by construction, Katz–Oda filtration on  $\mathrm{THH}$  is always exhaustive. Completeness of Katz–Oda filtration on  $\mathrm{THH}$  is much better than that on  $\mathrm{THH}_{\Delta}^{\Phi C_p}$  and  $\mathrm{THH}_{\Delta/k}^{\Phi C_p}$ .

**Proposition 6.4.** *Let  $k$  be an animated ring, and  $M$  a  $\mathrm{Fil}_M \mathrm{THH}(k)$ -module in  $\mathrm{SynSp}$  which is weight-bounded below, and  $\tau$ -complete. Then the filtered synthetic spectra  $\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{KO}} M$  in Construction 6.1 is complete.*

**Proof.** First, each synthetic spectrum  $\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{KO}}^j \mathrm{Fil}_M \mathrm{THH}(k)$  is complete, as the maps  $\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{KO}}^{j+1} M \rightarrow \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{KO}}^j M$  induces an equivalence on the limit of the tower of multiplication by  $\tau$ , thus the limits of these towers are the same, being zero. Thus it suffices to check the completeness of  $\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{KO}} M$  after  $\tau$ -completion to  $\widehat{\mathrm{SynSp}}$ , and the proof of Proposition 5.7 adapts.  $\square$

**Example 6.5.** Let  $k$  be an animated ring. Take  $R$  to be the monogenic polynomial  $k$ -algebra  $k[t]$ . Then Example 6.3 gives rise to a 2-step increasing filtration, which corresponds to a fiber sequence

$$L_{k[t]/k}^1 \otimes_k^{\mathbb{L}} \mathrm{gr}_N^{i-1} \Delta_k[-1] \longrightarrow \mathrm{gr}_N^i \Delta_{k[t]} \longrightarrow k[t] \otimes_k^{\mathbb{L}} \mathrm{gr}_N^i \Delta_k,$$

which is the fiber sequence in [BM23, Prop 4.8], used to establish the weak  $F$ -smoothness of  $k[t]$  from that of  $k$ .

Example 6.5 hints a possibility of applying Example 6.3 to understand weak  $F$ -smoothness. This is indeed the case (Theorem 6.7). We first review weak  $F$ -smoothness in [BM23].

**Definition 6.6.** ([BM23, Def 4.2]) *We say that an animated ring  $A$  is weakly  $F$ -smooth if, for each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the  $A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{F}_p$ -module spectrum*

$$\mathrm{fib}(\mu_1 : \mathrm{gr}_N^i \Delta_A \{i\} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{F}_p \longrightarrow \mathrm{gr}_N^{i+p} \Delta_A \{i+p\} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{F}_p)$$

*has Tor-amplitude in degrees<sup>6.1</sup>  $\leq -(i+2)$ , where  $\mu_1$  is induced by the class  $\mu_1$  in  $\mathrm{Fil}_M(\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{F}_p)$ , which gives rise to a map*

$$\mathrm{Fil}_M(\mathrm{THH}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{F}_p) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Fil}_M(\mathrm{THH}(A) \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{F}_p)(-p)[-2p]$$

*of synthetic spectra.*

**Theorem 6.7.** *Let  $k \rightarrow R$  be a map of animated rings. Suppose that*

- *the animated ring  $k$  is weakly  $F$ -smooth; and that*
- *the map  $k \rightarrow R$  is  $p$ -quasismooth; that is, it is  $p$ -completely flat, and the  $R$ -module spectrum  $L_{R/k}$  is  $p$ -completely flat.*

*Then the animated ring  $R$  is weakly  $F$ -smooth as well.*

<sup>6.1</sup> In [BM23, Def 4.2], the authors considered the  $p$ -complete Tor-amplitude of  $\mathrm{fib}(\mu_1)$  as an  $A$ -module spectrum, and for every  $(A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{F}_p)$ -module spectrum  $M$ , we have

$$\mathrm{fib}(\mu_1) \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M \simeq \mathrm{fib}(\mu_1) \otimes_{(A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{F}_p)}^{\mathbb{L}} M \oplus \Sigma \mathrm{fib}(\mu_1) \otimes_{(A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{F}_p)}^{\mathbb{L}} M$$

as  $A$ -module spectra. This explains the shift by 1 of Tor-amplitude in our definition in comparison to theirs.



**Proof.** For animated rings  $A$ , let  $F^i(A)$  denote the fiber

$$\mathrm{fib}(\mu_1 : \mathrm{gr}_N^i \Delta_A\{i\} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{F}_p \longrightarrow \mathrm{gr}_N^{i+p} \Delta_A\{i+p\} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{F}_p).$$

We want to invoke Example 6.3, but we have to be a bit more careful, as the map  $\mu_1$  involves a shift of Katz–Oda weight, internal filtration weight, and homotopy degree. Indeed,  $\mu_1$  comes from a class in  $\mathrm{Fil}_M(\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{F}_p)$ , which has filtration weight  $p$  and homotopy degree  $2p$ . This gives rise to a class in  $\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{KO}} \mathrm{Fil}_M(\mathrm{THH}(k) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{F}_p)$ , which has Katz–Oda weight  $p$ , internal filtration weight  $p$ , and homotopy degree  $2p$ . In the end, we indeed get a Katz–Oda filtration  $\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{KO}} F^i(R)$  on  $F^i(R)$ , with

$$\mathrm{gr}_{\mathrm{KO}}^j F^i(R) = (\bigwedge_R^{i-j} L_{R/k}) \otimes_k^{\mathbb{L}} F^j(k)[j-i],$$

which corresponds to a finite-step exhaustive increasing filtration. Now the result follows from the  $p$ -complete flatness of the  $k$ -module  $R$ , the  $p$ -complete flatness of the  $R$ -module spectrum  $L_{R/k}$ , and the  $p$ -complete Tor-amplitude of the  $k$ -module spectrum  $F^i(k)$ .  $\square$

Now we prove transitivity of  $F$ -smoothness. For this, it seems reasonable to introduce a relative version of Nygaard completeness, which is inspired by relative Segal conjecture.

**Definition 6.8.** Let  $k \rightarrow R$  be a map of animated rings. We say that the absolute prismatic cohomology  $\Delta_R\{*\}$  is Nygaard complete relative to  $\Delta_k\{*\}$  if the canonical map

$$\left( \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \bar{\Delta}_R\{n\} \right) \otimes_{\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \bar{\Delta}_k\{n\}}^{\mathbb{L}} \left( \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{\Delta}_k\{n\} \right) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{\Delta}_R\{n\}$$

of graded  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -rings is an equivalence after  $p$ -completion.

**Remark 6.9.** Let  $k \rightarrow R$  be a map of animated rings. Then by definition, the absolute prismatic cohomology  $\Delta_R\{*\}$  is Nygaard complete relative to  $\Delta_k\{*\}$  the filtered graded  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -ring

$$\left( \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Fil}_N \bar{\Delta}_R\{n\} \right) \otimes_{\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Fil}_N \bar{\Delta}_k\{n\}}^{\mathbb{L}} \left( \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Fil}_N \hat{\Delta}_k\{n\} \right)$$

is complete up to  $p$ -completion.

The rest of this section is devoted to the following theorem.

**Theorem 6.10.** Let  $k$  be a  $t$ -bounded animated ring, and  $R$  an animated  $k$ -algebra of bounded  $p$ -complete Tor-amplitude. Suppose that

- the animated ring  $k$  is weakly  $F$ -smooth; and that
- the  $R$ -module spectrum  $L_{R/k}$  is  $p$ -completely flat.

If the  $p$ -completed derived de Rham cohomology  $\mathrm{dR}_{R/k}$  is  $p$ -completely Hodge-complete, then the absolute prismatic cohomology  $\Delta_R\{*\}$  is Nygaard complete relative to  $\Delta_k\{*\}$ .

Before its proof, we mention that it implies transitivity of  $F$ -smoothness:

**Corollary 6.11.** Let  $k$  be an  $F$ -smooth  $p$ -quasisyntomic ring, and  $k \rightarrow R$  a  $p$ -Cartier smooth map [Bou23]. Then  $R$  is  $F$ -smooth  $p$ -quasisyntomic as well.

**Proof.** First, since  $k$  has bounded  $p^\infty$ -torsion and the map  $k \rightarrow R$  is  $p$ -completely flat, so does  $R$ . The transitivity sequence

$$L_{k/\mathbb{Z}_p} \otimes_k^{\mathbb{L}} R \longrightarrow L_{R/\mathbb{Z}_p} \longrightarrow L_{R/k}$$

implies that  $L_{R/\mathbb{Z}_p}$  has  $p$ -complete Tor-amplitude in  $[0, 1]$  as well, thus  $R$  is  $p$ -quasisyntomic. Since the ring  $k$  is  $F$ -smooth, it is weakly  $F$ -smooth [BM23, Prop 4.3]. By Theorem 6.7, the ring  $R$  is weakly  $F$ -smooth as well. Now the result follows from Theorem 6.10 and the Nygaard-completeness of the absolute prismatic cohomology  $\Delta_k$ .  $\square$

Now we come to the proof of Theorem 6.10. Let  $k \rightarrow R$  be a map of animated rings. The strategy is similar to Section 5, namely introducing a filtration on the graded  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -ring  $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{\Delta}_k\{*\}$ , showing that it induces a complete “Katz–Oda” filtration on the map

$$\left( \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \bar{\Delta}_R\{n\} \right) \otimes_{\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \bar{\Delta}_k\{n\}}^{\mathbb{L}} \left( \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{\Delta}_k\{n\} \right) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{\Delta}_R\{n\}$$

and then show that, on each “Katz–Oda” associated graded pieces, the filtered graded spectrum is complete. A naive guess is to take the Nygaard filtration on  $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{\Delta}_k\{n\}$  as in Construction 5.1 etc. A technical difficulty for this choice is to control the Tor-amplitude of Nygaard associated graded pieces. Even under the weak  $F$ -smoothness of  $k$ , these pieces might not be well controlled. However, if we take its reduction mod  $p$ , we might take the *twisted Nygaard filtration* instead.

**Remark 6.12.** ([BM23, Cons 3.3, Rem 3.4 & Rem 4.5]) Let  $R$  be an animated ring. Then there exists a functorial  $\mathbb{N}$ -graded filtration  $\text{Fil}_{\tilde{N}}(\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \bar{\Delta}_R\{n\} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{F}_p)$ , called the *twisted Nygaard filtration*, on the graded  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -ring  $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \bar{\Delta}_R\{n\} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{F}_p$ , with associated graded pieces given by

$$\text{cofib} \left( \mu_1 : \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{gr}_N^{i-p} \bar{\Delta}_R\{n\} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{F}_p \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{gr}_N^i \bar{\Delta}_R\{n\} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{F}_p \right).$$

Then

1. the  $\text{Fil}_N(\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \bar{\Delta}_R\{n\})$ -module  $\text{Fil}_{\tilde{N}}(\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \bar{\Delta}_R\{n\} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{F}_p)$  is perfect, as it is the cofiber of a twisted endomorphism given by a class in [BM23, Ex 3.2];
2. the mod  $p$ -reduction  $\bar{\Delta}_R\{*\} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{F}_p$  of Nygaard-completed absolute Hodge–Tate cohomology coincides with the completion of the twisted Nygaard completion of  $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \bar{\Delta}_R\{n\} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{F}_p$ ; and
3. if the animated ring  $R$  is weakly  $F$ -smooth and  $t$ -bounded above, then the convergence  $\text{Fil}_{\tilde{N}}$  to its completion has a increasingly coconnective estimation (the neutral  $t$ -structure on graded spectra implies uniformity in  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ).

**Remark 6.13.** By Remark 6.12, we may replace  $\bar{\Delta}$  by  $\bar{\Delta} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{F}_p$ , and  $\text{Fil}_N$  by  $\text{Fil}_{\tilde{N}}$  throughout.

**Lemma 6.14.** Let  $k \rightarrow R$  be a map of animated rings, which is  $p$ -completely quasi-lci, and has  $p$ -complete Tor-amplitude  $\leq d$ . Then the graded  $(\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \bar{\Delta}_k\{n\})$ -module spectrum  $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \bar{\Delta}_R\{n\}$  admits an exhaustive increasing filtration, with associated graded pieces being retracts of graded  $(\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \bar{\Delta}_k\{n\})$ -modules of the form

$$N \otimes_k^{\mathbb{L}} \left( \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \bar{\Delta}_k\{n\} \right)$$

with  $N$  being a  $k$ -module spectrum with  $p$ -complete Tor-amplitude  $\leq d$ .

**Proof.** We simply take the Katz–Oda filtration in Example 5.4, and the associated graded pieces are retracts of the graded  $(\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \bar{\Delta}_k\{n\})$ -module

$$\left( \bigoplus_{s \in \mathbb{N}} (\wedge_R^s L_{R/k})[-s] \right) \otimes_k^{\mathbb{L}} \left( \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \bar{\Delta}_k\{n\} \right).$$

Now as  $k \rightarrow R$  is  $p$ -completely quasi-lci, for every  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ , the  $R$ -module  $(\wedge_R^s L_{R/k})[-s]$  has  $p$ -complete Tor-amplitude  $\leq 0$  (cf. [BMS19, Lem 4.34]), thus as a  $k$ -module, it has  $p$ -complete Tor-amplitude  $\leq d$ , and the result follows.  $\square$

**Corollary 6.15.** Let  $k \rightarrow R$  be a map of animated rings, which is  $p$ -completely quasi-lci, and has bounded  $p$ -complete Tor-amplitude. Suppose that the animated ring  $k$  is  $t$ -bounded above and weakly  $F$ -smooth. Then the filtered graded spectrum

$$\left( \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \bar{\Delta}_R\{n\} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{F}_p \right) \otimes_{\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \bar{\Delta}_k\{n\} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{F}_p}^{\mathbb{L}} \left( \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Fil}_{\tilde{N}}(\hat{\Delta}_k\{n\} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{F}_p) \right)$$

is complete, and its convergence has an increasingly coconnective estimate.

**Proof.** The result follows from Remark 6.12 and Lemma 6.14.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 6.10.** By Remarks 6.9 and 6.13 and Corollary 6.15, it suffices to check that, for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , the filtered graded spectrum

$$F_j := \left( \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Fil}_{\bar{N}} \bar{\Delta}_R \{n\} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{F}_p \right) \otimes_{\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Fil}_{\bar{N}} \bar{\Delta}_k \{n\} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{F}_p}^{\mathbb{L}} \left( \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{ins}^j \mathrm{gr}_{\bar{N}}^j \bar{\Delta}_k \{n\} \right)$$

is complete. We start with  $j = 0$ . By point 1 of Remark 6.12, it suffices to check the completeness of the filtered graded spectrum

$$\left( \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Fil}_N \bar{\Delta}_R \{n\} \right) \otimes_{\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Fil}_N \bar{\Delta}_k \{n\}}^{\mathbb{L}} \left( \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{ins}^0 \mathrm{gr}_N^0 \bar{\Delta}_k \{n\} \right)$$

after  $p$ -completion. By de Rham comparison, this filtered spectrum can be identified with the filtered graded spectrum  $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Fil}_H \mathrm{dR}_{R/k} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{F}_p$ , which is complete. Moreover, since the  $R$ -module spectrum  $L_{R/k}$  is  $p$ -completely flat, so are its exterior powers  $\bigwedge_R^r L_{R/k}$ . Now that the map  $k \rightarrow R$  of animated rings has bounded  $p$ -complete Tor-amplitude, and the animated ring  $k$  is  $t$ -bounded above, the  $k$ -module spectra

$$\{\bigwedge_R^r L_{R/k} \mid r \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

has uniformly bounded  $p$ -complete Tor-amplitude, and they are uniformly  $t$ -bounded above. This implies that, the convergence of the filtered graded  $k$ -module spectrum  $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Fil}_H \mathrm{dR}_{R/k} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{F}_p$  is linearly increasingly coconnective, and so is the convergence of the filtered graded  $k$ -module spectrum  $F_0$ .

Now for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , note that we have

$$F_j = F_0 \otimes_{\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{ins}^0 \mathrm{gr}_N^0 \bar{\Delta}_k \{n\}}^{\mathbb{L}} \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{ins}^j \mathrm{gr}_N^j \bar{\Delta}_k \{n\}.$$

It follows from weak  $F$ -smoothness of  $k$  and the  $t$ -boundedness of  $k$  that, for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , the filtered spectrum  $F_j$  is complete, whose convergence is linearly increasingly coconnective.  $\square$

## Bibliography

- [AKN23] Benjamin Antieau, Achim Krause, and Thomas Nikolaus. Prismatic cohomology relative to  $\delta$ -rings. *ArXiv e-prints*, oct 2023.
- [AKN24] Benjamin Antieau, Achim Krause, and Thomas Nikolaus. On the  $K$ -theory of  $\mathbb{Z}/p^n$ . *ArXiv e-prints*, may 2024.
- [AR24] Benjamin Antieau and Noah Riggenbach. Cyclotomic synthetic spectra. *ArXiv e-prints*, nov 2024.
- [BF25] Manuel Blickle and Daniel Fink.  $L$ -smooth factorization for Noetherian  $F$ -finite rings. *ArXiv e-prints*, jan 2025.
- [Bha12] B. Bhatt.  $p$ -adic derived de Rham cohomology. *ArXiv e-prints*, apr 2012.
- [BHLS23] Robert Burklund, Jeremy Hahn, Ishan Levy, and Tomer M. Schlank.  $K$ -theoretic counterexamples to Ravenel’s telescope conjecture. *ArXiv e-prints*, oct 2023.
- [BL22] Bhargav Bhatt and Jacob Lurie. Absolute prismatic cohomology. *ArXiv e-prints*, jan 2022.
- [BM23] Bhargav Bhatt and Akhil Mathew. Syntomic complexes and  $p$ -adic étale Tate twists. *Forum Math. Pi*, 11:0, 2023.
- [BMS18] Bhargav Bhatt, Matthew Morrow, and Peter Scholze. Integral  $p$ -adic Hodge theory. *Publications Mathématiques. Institut de Hautes Études Scientifiques*, 128:219–397, 2018.
- [BMS19] Bhargav Bhatt, Matthew Morrow, and Peter Scholze. Topological Hochschild homology and integral  $p$ -adic Hodge theory. *Publications Mathématiques. Institut de Hautes Études Scientifiques*, 129:199–310, 2019.
- [Bou23] Tess Vincent Bouis. Cartier smoothness in prismatic cohomology. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 805:241–282, 2023.

- [Bur22] Robert Burklund. Multiplicative structures on Moore spectra. *ArXiv e-prints*, mar 2022.
- [CMM21] Dustin Clausen, Akhil Mathew, and Matthew Morrow.  $K$ -theory and topological cyclic homology of henselian pairs. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 34(2):411–473, 2021.
- [Gre25] Rok Gregoric. Prismaticization via spherical loop spaces. *ArXiv e-prints*, nov 2025.
- [HRW22] Jeremy Hahn, Arpon Raksit, and Dylan Wilson. A motivic filtration on the topological cyclic homology of commutative ring spectra. *ArXiv e-prints*, jun 2022.
- [HW22] Jeremy Hahn and Dylan Wilson. Redshift and multiplication for truncated Brown-Peterson spectra. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 196(3):1277–1351, 2022.
- [Ill71] Luc Illusie. *Complexe cotangent et déformations. I*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 239. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1971.
- [Lur17] Jacob Lurie. Higher algebra. <https://www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/papers/HA.pdf>, sep 2017.
- [Lur18] Jacob Lurie. Spectral algebraic geometry. <https://www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/papers/SAG-rootfile.pdf>, feb 2018.
- [Man24] Deven Manam. On the Drinfeld formal group. *ArXiv e-prints*, mar 2024.
- [Mao24] Zhouhang Mao. Equivariant aspects of de-completing cyclic homology. *ArXiv e-prints*, oct 2024.
- [Mat21] Akhil Mathew. On  $K(1)$ -local TR. *Compos. Math.*, 157(5):1079–1119, 2021.
- [Rak20] Arpon Raksit. Hochschild homology and the derived de Rham complex revisited. *ArXiv e-prints*, jul 2020.
- [Rig25] Noah Riggenbach.  $K$ -theory of truncated polynomials. *Math. Z.*, 310(3):0, 2025.
- [Sha70] Richard T. Shannon. The rank of a flat module. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 24:452–456, 1970.
- [Sta21] StacksProjectAuthors. The Stacks project. <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>, 2021.
- [Val73] Paolo Valabrega. Regular local rings and excellent rings. i, ii. *J. Algebra*, 26:440–445, 1973.